To the Farthest Frontiers:

Women's Empowerment in an Expanding Europe



Eurostep • Social Watch • WIDE • Karat

Impressum:

Author: Mirjam van Reisen (EEPA)

Editorial Assistance Maxi Ussar

Project Coordination: Camilo Tovar (EEPA) Karina Batthyány (Social Watch)

Research: Barbara Ann Delcourt Ben Moore Camilo Tovar Karina Batthyány Maxi Ussar

Cover & Page Design: Benny Gommers

Copyrights: Eurostep & Social Watch

Copyrights of images on cover: European Commission - Europe Aid

Published by: Eurostep & Social Watch

First Edition: 1500 copies, 22 February 2005

Produced by:



Acknowledgement & Disclaimer

All rights reserved; no part of this work may be reproduced in any form, by mimeograph or any other means, without permission in writing from the publishers.

To the publisher's knowledge all details concerning organisations and publications are correct as given. However, the publishers would be glad to hear of any inaccuracies so that they may be put right in subsequent impressions.

We would like to thank UNIFEM for its support for the production of this report.

The report is a contribution to the review of the effective implementation of the Beijing Platform for Action. Statements of fact and opinion appearing and opinion appearing in this publication are made on the responsibility of the author alone and do not imply the endorsement UNIFEM.

UNIFEM is the women's fund at the United Nations. It provides financial and technical assistance to innovative programmes and strategies that promote women's human rights, political participation and economic security. UNIFEM works in partnership with UN organisations, governments and non-governmental or ganisations (NGOs) and networks to promote gender equality. It links women's issues and concerns to national, regional and global agendas by fostering collaboration and providing technical expertise on gender mainstreaming and women's empowerment strategies.

List of abbrevations	
List of country abbrevations	7
Acknowledgements	
About the organisations	
Foreword	10
Note from the editor	
Executive Summary	
I. Introduction	
The CEE/CIS region in Europe	20-21
II. Legal Framework	
• General Law	
• Enlargement & Accession	
• European Neighborhood Policy	
Development Co-operation Policy	
Regional Assistance Programmes	
III. Financial Framework/Budget	20
Pre-Accession aid - PHARE	
CARDS, TACIS & Pre-Accession Assistence to Turkey	
W Decomposition and Levelow entotion	20
IV. Programming and Implementation	
Accession Partnerships	
Country Strategy Papers A. Commission Guidelines	
A. Commission Guidennes B. Country Analysis	
C. EC Response Strategy	
• D. Multi-annual & National Indicative Programmes	
• D. Mutti-annual & National indicative Flogrammes	
V. Evaluation and Impact	
Candidate Countries' Annual Progress Reports	
Poverty eradication	
Gender equality	
VI. Conclusion	
VII. Recommondations	41
• Towards an integrated social Europe	
• Developing a European Union Policy for gender equality in the CEE/CIS region	41
Recommendations to the European Union and CSOs	



Endnotes	
Bibliography	46
Annex 1: Background of the European Union	52
Annex 2: Millenium Development Goals (MDGs)	
Annex 3: The MDG & the Bejing Platform for Action Critical Areas of Concern	55
Annex 4: Social Watch Tables	
4: Legend	57
4: Methodology	58
4a: Gender gap in economic activity and earned income	
4b: Education	62
4c: Women's empowerment	66
4d: Gender Ranking	70
4e: Reproductive health	
Annex 5: DAC List of Aid Recipients - As at 1 January 2003	
Notes	

Tables & Graphs

 Graph 1: Changes in % of marriages in the CEE/CIS region 1989-1999 18 Table 2: Summary of analysis of the legal framework 23 Table 3: Summary of the analysis on enlargement and accession 24 Table 4: Summary of the analysis of the European Neighborhood Policy 25 Table 5: Comparison of Council Regulation 806 & the Beijing Declaration & Platform for Action critical areas of corncern 26 Table 6: Summary of the analysis of the EC Development Co-operation analysis 27 Table 7: Summary of the analysis of regional assistance programmes 27 Graph 2: PHARE Commitment 1999-2003 Table 8: Summary of the analysis of the financial framework 30 Table 9: Where does the money go ? 31 Table 10: Summary of the analysis of programming and implementation 35 Table 11: Evaluations carried out by Europe Aid 2000-2003 	• Table 1: Percentage of Women Parliamentarians as of May 2004	. 17
 Table 3: Summary of the analysis on enlargement and accession	• Graph 1: Changes in % of marriages in the CEE/CIS region 1989-1999	. 18
 Table 4: Summary of the analysis of the European Neighborhood Policy	• Table 2: Summary of analysis of the legal framework	23
 Table 5: Comparison of Council Regulation 806 & the Beijing Declaration & Platform for Action critical areas of corncern	• Table 3: Summary of the analysis on enlargement and accession	24
areas of corncern26• Table 6: Summary of the analysis of the EC Development Co-operation analysis27• Table 7: Summary of the analysis of regional assistance programmes27• Graph 2: PHARE Commitment 1999-200330• Table 8: Summary of the analysis of the financial framework30• Table 9: Where does the money go ?31• Table 10: Summary of the analysis of programming and implementation35	• Table 4: Summary of the analysis of the European Neighborhood Policy	25
 Table 6: Summary of the analysis of the EC Development Co-operation analysis	• Table 5: Comparison of Council Regulation 806 & the Beijing Declaration & Platform for Action crit	ical
 Table 7: Summary of the analysis of regional assistance programmes	areas of corncern	26
 Graph 2: PHARE Commitment 1999-2003	• Table 6: Summary of the analysis of the EC Development Co-operation analysis	27
 Table 8: Summary of the analysis of the financial framework	• Table 7: Summary of the analysis of regional assistance programmes	27
 Table 9: Where does the money go ?	• Graph 2: PHARE Commitment 1999-2003	30
• Table 10: Summary of the analysis of programming and implementation	• Table 8: Summary of the analysis of the financial framework	30
	• Table 9: Where does the money go ?	31
• Table 11: Evaluations carried out by Europe Aid 2000-2003 37	• Table 10: Summary of the analysis of programming and implementation	35
Tuble III Dividuations cuttled out by Europe The 2000 2005 initiation in the state	• Table 11: Evaluations carried out by Europe Aid 2000-2003	37

BPfA	Beijing Platform for Action
CARDS	Community Assistance for Reconstruction, Development and Stability in the Balkans
CEDAW	Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women
CEE	Central and Eastern Europe
CFSDP	Common Foreign, Security and Defence Policy
CIS	Commonwealth of Independent States
CSP	Country Strategy Paper
CT	Constitutional Treaty
DAC	Development Assistance Committee
DG	Directorate General
EC	European Community
ECHO	European Community Humanitarian Office
EDF	European Development Fund
ENP	European Neighbourhood Policy
EU	European Union
EU15	European Union prior 1 May 2004
EU25	European Union after 1 May 2004
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
MEDA	Euro – Mediterranean Partnership
MIP	Multi-annual Indicative Programme
NIP	National Indicative Programme
OA	Official Assistance
ODA	Official Development Assistance
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
OSCE	Organisation for Security and Cooperation in Europe
PfA	Platform for Action
PHARE	Pologne-Hongrie Assistance à la restructuration des Économies
RIP	Regional Indicative Programme
RSP	Regional Strategy Paper
TACIS	Technical Assistance to the Commonwealth of Independent States
TEU	Treaty on European Union
UN	United Nations
UNIFEM	United Nations Development Fund for Women
UNWSSD	United Nations World Summit for Social Development
WTO	World Trade Organisation

Country abbrevations



ALB	Albania
ARM	Armenia
AZE	Azerbaijan
BEL	Belarus
BIH	Bosnia and Herzegovina
BUL	Bulgaria
CRO	Croatia
CZE	Czech Republic
EST	Estonia
GEO	Georgia
HUN	Hungary
KAZ	Kazakhstan
KYR	Kyrgyzstan
LAT	Latvia
LIT	Lithuania
MCD	Macedonia
MOL	Moldova
POL	Poland
ROM	Romania
RUS	Russia
SLK	Slovakia
SLN	Slovenia
TAJ	Tajikistan
ТКМ	Turkmenistan
UKR	Ukraine
UZB	Uzbekistan

This report is the joint effort of many who have contributed to bring understanding and analysis to the report.

Karat is the network of women's organisations in the CEE/CIS region monitoring the implementation of the Beijing Platform of Action with an invaluable knowledge and understanding of the reality of women's life in this region. This report has benefited from Karat's experience, knowledge, analysis and information on the reality of gender equality in the CEE/CIS. Karat's executive director, Kinga Lohmann, the members of the secretariat, particularly co-editor of Polish Gender Assessment of the Impact of EU Accession on the Status of Women in the Labour Market in CEE – Anita Seibert, board members, particularly Pavlina Filipova, authors of Bulgarian and Czech Gender Assessments -Jivka Marinova and Michaela Tominova, and an expert cooperating with Karat - Silke Steinhilber have made extensive contributions and comments during a series of meetings in Warsaw, Berlin and Brussels.

Special thanks also go to various colleagues in the CEE/CIS region who provided constructive and insightful comments on this study. Roxana Tesui from the Centre Partnership for Equality in Romania, Erika Kvapilova and Shamil Tyncherov contributed greatly with their expert knowledge on women across this region. All those present at the consultation meeting in Geneva on 11 December 2004 have also added greatly to this study.

Further, the report has benefited profoundly from the experience, contributions and analysis provided by Social Watch, under the leadership of Ms Karina Batthyány and the social research team, based in Montevideo, who provided the gender indicators, and their analysis, used in the report.

The work of WIDE and the research on how gender equality has been incorporated as an objective in the policies of the EU through accession of New Member States is extensive and their contributions have been very important to the realisation of this report.

The Alliance 2015, and its members HIVOS (Netherlands), Concern (Ireland), German Agro Action (DWHH), Cesvi (Italy) and IBIS (Denmark) have kindly permitted the methodology of 2015Watch to be used as a framework for the approach developed in this report. Their support is gratefully acknowledged.

The support in Eurostep of Paddy Magguinness (Deputy Director Concern, Ireland), Sylvia Borren (Director Novib-Oxfam Netherlands), Gunta Berzina (Director Latvian NGO Platform), and Olive Towey (Concern) has been vital for the realisation of this report. Rutta Kaupe made important contributions during a consultation in Brussels.

UNIFEM provided funding for the report and the never tiring support and confidence given by its staff has been invaluable. The report benefited greatly from the comments made on an earlier draft by, amongst others, Zina Mounla, Osnat Lubrani, and Damira Sartbaeva, during a consultation meeting that took place in Brussels.

Europe External Policy Advisors (EEPA) has been in charge of the production of this report. The research of the project was supervised by Camilo Tovar, with contributions from Maxi Ussar, Barbara Ann Delcourt, Gianni Balduzzi, Ana Stefanovic and Ben Moore. The cover, lay-out, maps and pictures were produced by Benny Gommers.



Simon Stocker Director, Eurostep

Sum Stade



About Eurostep

Eurostep is a network of 16 major NGDOs from 12 European countries. Collectively they work in around 100 countries and have a combined annual budget of over • 650 million. *Eurostep* was established in 1990 to co-ordinate activities of its members at the European level. Its two principal aims are first to influence official development co-operation policies of multilateral institution, and in particular those of the European Union; and secondly to improve the quality and effectiveness of initiatives taken by NGOs in support of people centred development.

More information on Eurostep can be found on its website: <u>http://www.eurostep.org</u>

About Social Watch

Social Watch is an international network informed by national citizens' groups aiming at following up the fulfillment of the internationally agreed commitments on poverty eradication and equality. These national groups report, through the national Social Watch report, on the progression - or regression - from these commitments and goals. The Social Watch groups, organized on and ad hoc basis, have a focal point in each country that is responsible for promoting the initiative; submitting a national report for the yearly publication; undertaking lobbying initiatives before the national authorities to hold them accountable for the policies in place regarding the agreed commitments; promoting a dialogue about the national social development priorities and developing an active inclusive strategy to include other groups into the national group. The international secretariat of Social Watch is hosted by the Third World Institute in Montevideo, Uruguay

More information on Social Watch can be found on its website: <u>http://www.socialwatch.org</u>

About WIDE

WIDE is a European network of development NGOs, gender specialists and human rights activists. WIDE monitors and influences international economic and development policy and practice from a feminist perspective. WIDE's work is grounded in women's rights as the basis for the development of a more just and democratic world order. WIDE strives for a world based on gender equality and social justice that ensures equal rights for all, as well as equal access to resources and opportunities in all spheres of political, social and economic life.

More information on WIDE can be found on its website: <u>http://www.wide-network.org.</u>

About KARAT

KARAT is a regional coalition of organizations and individuals that works to ensure gender equality in the Central & Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States countries, monitors the implementation of international agreements and lobbies for the needs and concerns of women in the Region at all levels of decision-making. KARAT members include NGOs from 20 countries:

Armenia, Azerbaijan, Albania, Belarus, Bosnia & Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Georgia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Macedonia, Moldova, Poland, Romania, Russia, Serbia & Montenegro, Slovakia, Ukraine.

More information on KARAT Coalition can be found on its website: <u>http://www.karat.org</u>

About EEPA

Europe External Policy Advisors (EEPA) is a Brusselsbased centre of expertise on the EU's external policies. EEPA's objective is to strengthen the participation of actors that can contribute to a responsible social Europe in EU policy dialogue. EEPA liaises on behalf of NGOs and international organisations with EU institutions, implements research, provides analyses, offers advice to campaigns and disseminates information on EU development and humanitarian assistance, provides training and event organisation related to EU external relations.

More information on EEPA can be found on its website: <u>http://www.eepa.be</u>

F

This is the first joint report of Karat, WIDE, Eurostep and Social Watch. It marks a crucial meeting of civil society organisations in Europe. Karat, a women's network in the CEE/CIS, WIDE, a European network of development NGOs, gender specialists and human rights activists, Eurostep, a network of European development organisations, mainly based in the European Union and Social Watch, a global coalition of citizen's organisations: four coalitions with, a joint constituency in the New Member States of the European Union.

Karat, Wide, Eurostep and Social Watch share a common understanding that the Beijing Platform for Action must inform the strategies to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The objectives of gender equality and women's empowerment are not only independent Millennium Development Goals but also an essential condition for achieving all of them.

This report sets this political agenda in the context of Europe, the European Union and the CEE/CIS region, with the New EU Member States having a foot in both. It is our strong desire that the European Union will continue to play a global role in promoting social justice and human rights, and to contribute convincingly to the eradication of poverty and the achievement of gender equality, world-wide.

We thank UNIFEM for their support in the realisation of this report.





Meagen Baldwin,

Sylvia Borren Member of the Steering Committee, Eurostep

Roberto Bissio

Coordinator Social Watch

shown mald.

Sylv: Noven

10



66

There is no time to lose if we are to reach the Millennium Development Goals by the target date of 2015. Only by investing in the world's women can we expect to get there. **9**

Kofi Annan, Secretary-General of the United Nations, message on International Women's Day, 2003

This study focuses on the question of how Europe is overcoming its former division. Since 1989, Europe has changed beyond all expectations. But while Europe is transcending its former separation, new borders are being put in place. We now use terms such as 'old Europe' and 'new Europe' or 'those inside the European Union' and those 'outside', and we have created 'new neighbours'. One might argue that a new process of inclusion and exclusion has begun, with former partners now divided by the border of the EU.

However, the transformation of Europe also embodies the notion that the distinction between 'internal' and 'external' is artificial and that – in terms of social development, the borders are entirely permeable. Those who were 'outside' yesterday, form part of the European Union today. Today's 'new neighbours' may well be formulating the European Union's policies tomorrow as its new members. Moreover, the social dimension of the European Union and the CEE/CIS region has become increasingly mutually interdependent, with problems in one part clearly affecting the other.

It is clear that the transformation of the European continent is a thoroughly dynamic process. The European Union is incorporating a large number of CEE countries. These new Member States are transforming the European Union as much as the European Union is changing them. More than anything, the New Member States bring to the EU an intimate knowledge and understanding of Central and Eastern Europe as well as of the Commonwealth of Independent States, and a desire to cherish the invisible links and connections that history has bestowed upon this region.

These connections between Eastern and Western Europe are the most positive consequence of what has emanated from overcoming Europe's divide. It would indeed be regrettable if Europe's final unification would ultimately result in the creation of more demarcations and borders that include and exclude different parts of the continent. The European Union should not miss the historic opportunity of a profound unification in the wider region. Indeed, the eastward enlargement has, more than ever before, provided the EU with an excellent opportunity to realise peace and prosperity inside the Union as well as within the wider region in its entirety. The EU now has the potential to realise the very values upon which it was founded: equality, democracy, and an undivided Europe.

Among Europe's core objectives are the protection and promotion of human rights, the eradication of poverty and the promotion of equality between women and men. None of these objectives can be achieved in isolation – and all of them are relevant for the European Union both internally and externally. They constitute the backbone of Europe's social democracy that in turn provide the philosophical foundation of its existence.

Unfortunately, while progress has been made in many areas in the last decade in the CEE/CIS region, it is of great concern to find that poverty has in fact increased and gender equality has deteriorated in recent years.

The Central Asian Republics are now the poorest region in the world after Sub-Saharan Africa. This is shown by the large number of people living in extreme poverty. It is been estimated that currently nearly 20 million people are living in poverty across the poorest group of CIS countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan. In some countries, the poverty situation is particularly grave. According to World Bank estimates, 68% of the population of Tajikistan and 55% of that of Moldova are living in absolute poverty.

It is important to see these income levels in the context of the reality of some of the regions. Poverty in the Northern parts of the CEE/CIS region is particularly devastating, given the long periods of extremely cold weather in countries such as Belarus.

Poverty negatively impacts on gender equality. In Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States numerous gender-related problems, such as gender-based violence, segregation in the labour market and insufficient participation of women in parliaments and decision-making structures are serious matters of concern.

This state of affairs calls for soul-searching in how this situation came about and what can be done to rectify it. The following report aims to add to this effort. It provides an analysis of the extent to which the EU specifically promotes poverty eradication and gender equality in its assistance to countries in the CEE/CIS region. It looks at both the internal and external dimensions of the EU's gender policy, including policies affecting the New Member States from the CEE/CIS, the so-called New Neighbours, and the countries furthest away from the current EU borders.

To be a truly socially responsible Europe, the EU must uphold its values of equality, democracy and human rights in its external as well as in its internal policies.



Mirjam van Reisen Director, EEPA

FS

he year 2005 is important for the interna-tional agenda on the promotion of gen der equality and the eradication of poverty. The implementation of the Beijing Platform for Action and the Millennium Declaration are undergoing a review process. In the context of the Millennium Declaration and the related Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), in particular MDG 8, attention is given to donors' commitments to promoting gender equality and poverty eradication in their external assistance.

This publication is an attempt to add to the debates surrounding these reviews by providing an assessment of the EU's commitment to promoting gender equality in its assistance to Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS).

The EU has strongly committed itself to the promotion of gender equality and poverty eradication. Notably, it is a signatory to the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (BPfA), and the Millennium Declaration. All of its Member States have ratified the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW). Numerous internal EU documents contain similar commitments. Therefore, the EU should clearly promote gender equality and poverty eradication in its external assistance to all countries, including those in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent S tates.

However, there are other reasons beyond these legal and political commitments that should encourage the EU to promote gender equality and poverty eradication specifically in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States.

Firstly, while recognising the heterogeneity of the region, this report establishes that gender inequality and poverty are pressing issues in most countries across the region. Although standard indicators for gender equality, such as the literacy ratio between men and women and the share of women in non-agricultural wage labour, suggest that gender issues are not matters of great concern in CEE/CIS countries, consideration of other aspects like employment segregation and violence against women shows the alarming extent of gender inequality. Secondly, the relationship between the EU and this region has undergone considerable changes over recent years and it is likely that it will continue to do so. On 1 May 2004 eight CEE countries joined the Union and, once the appropriate instrument has been ratified, all the countries bordering the "new" EU will fall within the European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP). Thus, categories such as "inside" and "outside" the EU are becoming somewhat fluid and artificial.

The changing relationship between the EU and the CEE/CIS countries has a considerable effect on the policies and instruments applicable to these countries, including policies on gender equality and poverty eradication. This has led to an increased concern that the changing nature of the EU might result in the creation of new divisions, exclusions and inequalities in the region.

This concern is clearly less acute if the EU's policies and instruments towards the region have a strong, clear and coherent commitment to promoting gender equality and poverty eradication.

But, do they?

This report attempts to answer this question. The assessment is based on a four-fold division of the policy process. The legal framework; the budget; programming and implementation; and assessments and evaluations are examined in order to establish whether commitments to gender equality and poverty eradication are carried through the whole policy process.

The general finding of this report is that there is a large gap between policy and implementation.

The legal framework is largely satisfactory, featuring clear commitments to poverty eradication and the promotion of gender equality, enhanced through a twofold approach of women specific projects and gender mainstreaming. However, as soon as one looks at more specific policy instruments outlining strategies to a certain group of countries, commitments become much weaker and in some cases are completely left out. Some vague references to gender mainstreaming are often

ES

included in one paragraph of the documents with no reference to the overall objective of achieving gender equality, and with no definitions or explanation of how this should be achieved.

A look at the financial framework shows that virtually no money is specifically dedicated to women's empowerment and the expenditure on the social sector is alarmingly low. The amount of money which is spent on projects and programmes that effectively mainstream gender is difficult to identify, as there is no specific EU instrument available to track the data. The Gender Equality Marker devised by the OECD/ DAC suggests that in 2003, only three out of all the EC funded projects to Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States included gender equality as an objective. Programming documents also lack a clear gender perspective, and this oversight is subsequently carried through to the implementation documents.

Finally, evaluations carried out by the European Commission hardly ever consider the impact of projects on gender equality and poverty eradication. This comes as no real surprise, as the specific Commission Guidelines for Evaluations do not require such a consideration. Even when gender equality is considered, the evaluations merely consist of one sentence suggesting that gender mainstreaming is not properly applied, but do not provide recommendations to improve the situation.

The report concludes that, for the EU to be true to its founding principles, to be a socially responsible global actor, to avoid creating new divisions on the European continent and to substantially contribute to achieving the MDGs, it must significantly increase its efforts to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women in its assistance to Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States.



2005: a crucial year for gender equality and poverty eradication

The year 2005 is crucial for the international agenda of poverty eradication and the promotion of gender equality. The implementation of the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, and the Millennium Declaration are undergoing a 10 and a 5 year review process respectively. Actual progress towards the targets outlined in these documents is being measured. Crucially, the commitment of various donors to these targets in their external assistance to the wider world is also assessed.

This report is an examination of gender equality in one specific region: Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS), in light of its changing nature and increasingly close relationship with the European Union (EU). Particular focus is given to the EU's commitments to promoting gender equality and poverty eradication in its assistance to the region.

The European Union's (EU) relations with its neighbours on the East were fundamentally changed in 1989, after the fall of the Berlin Wall, and in 1991, after the collapse of the Soviet Empire. In the following period significant developments took place that culminated in eight of the EU's previous Eastern neighbours becoming members of the Union on 1 May 2004.

The inclusion of some, implies the exclusion of others. At present the EU's policy towards the region can be roughly divided into four, and soon, five different categories – relating to different policies, and support instruments.⁽¹⁾

Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS) is extremely vast, covering 17% of the world's land, holding 9% of the world's population, and comprising many different cultural, linguistic and religious traditions. At the same time, some aspects of the countries' social fabric, notably the level and particular nature of gender inequality and poverty, stem from a shared regional history. Until 1989 all the countries of the region were under communist regimes. Since then, they have undergone substantial political and economic reform programmes. These have increased gender inequality as well as poverty and have led to the inequalities in gender taking a simelar form across the region. The EU's assistance to the CEE/CIS region as a whole should prioritise these particular aspects of gender inequalty and poverty.

However, does it?

In light of the review of the implementation of the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action⁽²⁾ and the Millennium Declaration⁽³⁾ in 2005, in which the EU and its Member States clearly committed themselves to focus on the eradication of poverty and the promotion of gender equality in all their actions, including their external assistance, this is a particularly pressing question.

This report is an attempt to add to the debates surrounding the reviews by analyzing the operationalisation of the EU's commitments to gender equality and poverty eradication in its assistance to the CEE/CIS region.

Gender Equality and Poverty in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States The struggle of transition from centrally-planned, Soviet-style economic policies and dictatorial governments to democratic market-economies has deeply affected every aspect of life in the CEE/CIS region. Economic upheavals combined with the fact that the majority of states emerging out of the Soviet Union have very little experience in independent statehood and/or building of democratic institutions, **6 6** In the countries with economies in transition, women's political participation declined, in part as a result of the dramatic political and economic changes that took place in those societies, although a reversal of the decline has been observed more recently.

Review of the Further Implementation of the World Summit for Social Development and the outcome of the twenty-fourth Special Session of the General Assembly, Economic and Social Council, 1 December 2004, E/CN.5/2005/6.

has led to numerous conflicts over the past fifteen years.

The transition has had a particularly severe impact on poverty and gender equality. Absolute poverty has increased five-fold, GDP has fallen, social security systems have been dismantled and employment and wages have fallen considerably. The effects of transition on gender equality are more difficult to measure, as the situation for women is still affected by the remnants of the Soviet regime. As a result most of the standard indicators used to measure gender equality such as information on health and

education, have traditionally been relatively good in the region. According to the Human Development Index, for example, none of the countries in the CEE/ CIS region fall within the low gender related development index or the low gender empowerment index. Indeed, 11 out of the 28 countries are listed as having a higher gender related development index.⁽⁴⁾

Notably, the literacy rate of women throughout the region is as high as it is for men, in some cases even

indicating an imbalance in favour of women and as many boys as girls enrole in education at all levels. The share of women in non-agricultural wage employment also indicates a very positive picture. Research by Social Watch shows that the share of women in the non-agricultural sector in the CEE/CIS is higher than in the EU 15.⁽⁵⁾

Similarly, figures on the gender gap in economic activity and earned income show that, on the whole, the CEE/CIS countries tend to do better than the EU 15. Social Watch found that the worst performing countries are Austria – where women earn only 36% compared to men-, Ireland, Greece and Spain. (see annex 4a)

However, consideration of other indicators paints a very different picture. Figures on the share of seats held by women in national parliaments show that the average

Table 1: Percentage of Women Parliamentarians as of May 2004				
Percentage of Women Parliamentarians as at 1 May 2004	Single or Lower House	Upper House or Senate	Both Houses combined	
Global averages	15.6%	14.4%	15.4%	
Nordic countries	39.7%	N/A	39.7%	
Americas	18.6%	18.3%	18.5%	
Europe - OSCE member countries including Nordic countries	18.1%	15.3%	17.6%	
Europe - OSCE member countries excluding Nordic countries	16.1%	15.3%	15.9%	
Asia	15.1%	14.8%	15.1%	
Sub-Saharan Africa	14.6%	13.0%	14.4%	
Pacific	11.1%	20.5%		
CEE/CIS	13.1%	10.5%	11.7%	
Arab States	6.0%	7.5%	6.4%	

percentage of women is 13%, putting the CEE/CIS region in the second worst place globaly. (see table 1) Indicators measuring maternal health also show that gender 53% of Armenian women are reported to have been

abused 1-3 times in the course of their lives

inequality is still a pressing issues across the region. Social Watch found that maternal mortality rates are highest in Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Azerbaijan, while they are lowest in Sweden, Slovakia, Austria and Spain. (see annex 4d)

Research also indicates that, across the region, the situation of women in the labour market is a particular cause for concern. Discrimination in the employment sector and employment segregation has increased. Women are increasingly concentrated at the lower end of the labour markets and mainly employed in the service sector. The combination of this with the dismantling of social security systems has led many women to feel a loss of economic and social security. This loss on the one hand, along with greater choice on the other, is reponsible for the severe changes in women' s life cycles ⁽⁶⁾ in the CEE/CIS region over the last fifteen years. (see graph 1)

Violence against women, including domestic violence is a very serious problem in the CEE/CIS region. 21% of women in Ukraine, 22% in Russia, 29% in Romania and 53% of women in Armenia report experience of spousal physical abuse. ⁽⁷⁾ In Uzbekistan and Tajikistan sexual harassment at the workplace has become increasingly commonplace and the rate of suicide through self-immolation has increased. ⁽⁸⁾

Finally, the economic hardship and desperation

endured by women, combined with numerous conflicts and loosening of border controls, has led to an increase in trafficking of women and children across the region. Trafficking is not only sexual exploitation and labour exploitation, it also often results in physical violence, sexual assault, rape, threats and other forms of coercion.⁽⁹⁾

(12)

All the above shows that, while keeping the geographical, economic, cultural and religious heterogeneity of the CEE/CIS in mind, it has to be recognized that gender inequality is a very serious issue of concern across the region. Due to the region's shared history, certain commonalities in the way in which gender inequality manifests itself in the countries of the CEE/CIS can be identified and should inform any donor's assistance to this region.

The EU's commitments to gender equality

Gender equality is one of the key principles of the European Union. Article 3 (2) of the Treaty establishing the EC ⁽¹⁰⁾ explicitly commits it to the promotion of gender equality in all its actions. Various other documents such as the 1998 Council Regulation, "on integrating gender issues in development cooperation" ⁽¹¹⁾ further elaborate on this commitment and outline the twofold strategy the EU is to pursue in order to promote gender equality in its actions. This approach consists of on the one hand, mainstreaming of gender into all development co-operation, and on



the other hand, inclusion of specific measures targeting women. The EC Treaty also refers to poverty eradication as one of the EU's objectives in its development co-operation policies. This commitment to poverty eradication is even more strongly expressed in the 2000 Joint Commission and Council Statement on EU Development Policy, which presents it as the "overall objective" of EC development cooperation policy.⁽¹³⁾

The EU has also committed itself to promoting gender equality and the eradication of poverty at the international level. Firstly, all Member States have signed the 2000 Millennium Declaration, which is strongly committed to poverty eradication and includes equality between men and women as a fundamental value. Secondly, all EU Member States are party to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)⁽¹⁴⁾ and are thus legally bound to actively advance and promote gender equality. Thirdly, the EC was actively involved in the drafting process of, and is a signatory to, the 1995 Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, which has at its heart the empowerment of women. This document includes a comprehensive range of measures to be taken to achieve gender equality. Most importantly, the Beijing Platform for Action establishes a strong link between gender equality and poverty eradication and highlights crucial gender concerns in twelve specific areas, many of them linked to the MDGs.

The EU is therefore politically and, to a certain extent, legally obliged to promote gender equality and poverty eradication in all its actions, including in its assistance to the CEE/CIS region.

Focus of the report – the 2015 Watch methodology Based on these insights, the following analysis will examine to what extent these commitments have been operationalized in the EU's assistance to the CEE/CIS region. To do so, a methodology, specifically devised by Alliance 2015 ⁽¹⁵⁾ will be used. The methodology is based on the assumption that the quality of interventions is determined by the quality of the policy process which, therefore, needs more detailed analysis.

To do so the policy process is divided into the following four stages:



For the purpose of this report, each policy phase in all the different EU instruments to the CEE/CIS region is analysed in order to determine the extent to which the EU promotes gender equality and poverty eradication. Commitments to gender equality are measured through a threefold approach: Firstly, specific references to enhancing gender equality and to the empowerment of women will be considered. This is particularly important, as many of the problems specifically faced by women in the CEE/CIS region, such as domestic violence, require a women-targeted approach. Secondly, three areas with particular effect on most women's lives (poverty reduction, access and quality of education, access and quality of health care) will be examined to determine whether they are given adequate attention and whether projects focussing on these sectors include a gender perspective. Thirdly, this report will examine to what extent gender aspects are considered in the general priority sectors of EC assistance to the CEE/CIS, as most of them have a different impact on women and men.

The the recent enlargement of the EU on May 1 2004 and the inclusion of 8 countries from Central and Eastern Europe, the EU's relation to the CEE/CIS region is divided into four and soon five different categories: the New Member States, Accession Countries, Potential Candidate Countries, countries that fall within general Development Cooperation Policy and, subject to ratification of the European Neighborhood Instrument, countries that are covered by the new European Neighborhood Policy. (see page 21).

The category into which a country falls in defines the EU's policies and programmes towards it, including provisions on gender equality and poverty eradication. To stay true to the values enshrined in its founding treaty and to the commitments made on the internal and international level on gender equality and poverty eradication, all EU policies should equally promote both objectives. This is particularly important if the creation of new divisions, exclusions and inequalities in Europe as a whole are to be avoided.



[Quote]

10 years after the fall of the Berlin wall, Europe must not be divided by a "prosperity border" between the European Union & its Eastern Neighbours. Gerhard Schröder

EU Member States

These are the states which were members of the European Union before the enlargement on 1 May 2004.



New Member States of the European Union.

These 8 countries are now part of the EU and take part in the internal policies of the Community, including the Community policies on gender equality managed by the Commission Service for Employment and Social Affairs.

Accession Countries

Accession Countries, are the countries who have been formally approved as candidates for EU membership. The EU policy toward these countries will be defined through special pre-accession assistance, which is managed by DG Enlargement and is identified on a country by country basis. Currently, Romania, Bulgaria and Croatia still fall within specific EU policy instruments: PHARE and CARDS.

Potential Candidate Countries

Potential Candidate Countries have not yet been officially accepted as candidates for EU membership, but negotiations are under way. Currently they all fall under the CARDS policy.

European Neighborhood Countries

These are all the countries that border the postenlargement EU. Once the European Neighborhood Instrument is implemented, the relation which these countries will have with the EU will be based on the European Neighborhood Policy. Its main objective is to offer the EU's neighbours, "a chance to participate in various EU activities, through greater political, security, economic and cultural co-operation." Currently,

Countries falling within EU Development Cooperation Policy

The relation between these countries and the EU is defined through general EU development cooperation policy and currently the TACIS policy instrument.

How has the EU translated its obligations under CEDAW, its commitments under the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action and the Millennium Declaration into the legal framework of policies affecting the CEE/CIS region **9**

General EC Law

Presently, gender equality is a fundamental principle of the EC. However, it was not until the Treaty of Maastricht, 1992, that the concept was explicitly included in its primary law, and then only with regard to the labour market and treatment at work. The first general and comprehensive commitment to the promotion of equality between women and men was made in the Treaty of Amsterdam, 1999. Article 2 of the treaty states that, "the Community shall have as its task (...) to promote (...) equality between men and women (...)". Further, article 3 (2), commits the EU to eliminating gender inequality and promoting equality between men and women in all its activities. This is a crucial amendment to the EU's primary law, as it not only mentions gender equality as a principle, but as an objective of actions undertaken by the EU. Despite this positive framework, a closer look at the EU's specific commitments to gender equality in its development cooperation and external relations reveals that no specific reference to the concept is made in

This section will analyse the legal framework for the promotion of gender equality by looking at:

- General EC Law
- Enlargement

- European Neighborhood Policy
- Development Cooperation
- Regional Assistance Programmes

these parts of the Treaty. Notably article 177, which outlines the precise and general objectives of EU development cooperation, mentions principles such as democracy, the rule of law and general human rights, but does not include gender equality.⁽¹⁾

The EC Treaty might be replaced by the Constitutional Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe, ⁽²⁾ once it has been ratified by the Member States. The Constitution for Europe also contains a strong and comprehensive commitment to equality between men and women. The principle is enshrined in the Union's values and objectives, and re-affirmed in articles 83 and 116, both of which are dedicated to the objective of eliminating inequality between men and women. Article 116 merits particular attention as it commits the EU to mainstreaming gender across all its activities.

What is gender mainstreaming

"Mainstreaming a gender perspective is the process of assessing the implications for women and men of any planned action, including legislation, policies and programmes, in all areas and at all levels. It is a strategy for making women's as well as men's concerns and experiences an integral dimension of the design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of policies and programmes in all political, economic and social spheres so that women and men benefit equally and inequality is not perpetuated. The ultimate goal is to achieve gender equality.⁽³⁾

"Gender mainstreaming is the (re)organisation, improvement, development and evaluation of policy processes, so that a gender equality perspective is incorporated in all policies at all levels and at all stages, by the actors normally involved in policy-making." (4) γ

Legal Framework



It states that, "In all the activities referred to in this Part, the Union shall aim to eliminate inequalities and to promote equality between women and men." Furthermore, a declaration on violence against women can be found in annexed to the Treaty.

Considering the EU's commitments in relation to its external activities, however, the picture is less positive. Article 3.4 clearly states that,

"In its relations with the wider world, the Union shall (...) contribute to peace, security, sustainable development of the Earth, solidarity and mutual respect among peoples, free and fair trade, eradication of poverty and the protection of human rights, in particular the rights of the child."

References to gender equality or women's rights are conspicuous only by their absence. Moreover, the articles specifically dedicated to EU development cooperation and external relations do not mention gender equality as an objective or even as a guiding principle. Article 292 states that the guiding principles which the EU seeks to advance in the wider world are:

"democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect for human dignity, the principles of

Treaty of Amsterdam, 1999

Article 3.2: In all the activities referred to in this Article, the Community shall aim to eliminate inequalities, and to promote equality, between men and women.

Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe, 2004

Article III 116: In all the activities referred to in this part, the Union shall aim to eliminate inequalities, and to promote equality between men and women.

equality and solidarity, and respect for the principles of the United Nations Charter and international law."

Although it can be argued that the general commitment of the EU to promote gender equality in all its actions includes its relations with the wider world, the general neglect of gender issues in EU's external relations indicates the need for its specific re-affirmation. Regarding poverty eradication, the Treaty clearly states that, "Union development cooperation policy shall have as its primary objective the reduction and, in the long term, the eradication of poverty."

Table 2: Summary of the analysis on the legal framework					
Legal DocumentGender equality is included as an objectiveGender equality is included as an objective in external relationsGender mainstreaming is included as a strategy		Poverty eradication is included as an overall objective of develop- ment cooperation			
Treaty Establishing the EC	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Common Framework on Gender Equality 2001- 2005	Yes	Yes	Yes	not applicable	

The overall commitment to gender equality is reflected in the EU's secondary and soft law. Notably, the Community Framework on Gender Equality (2001-2005)⁽⁵⁾ provides a framework for action within which all community activities must contribute to the goal of eliminating inequalities and promoting equality between women and men. This commitment has been translated into legally binding and directly applicable provisions on gender equality in the EU's internal law, particularly in relation to employment and social security issues.⁽⁶⁾

Copenhagen Criteria for Accession to the EU:

Countries must:

be a stable democracy where the rule of law and respect for human and minority rights is ensured.

dispose of a functioning market economy which has the capacity to compete within the single European markets

transpose the acquis communautaire, the common body of EU legislation, into national legislation and ensure its implementation.

Enlargement and Accession

In preparation for membership of the EU, candidate countries are required to meet three basic criteria, entitled the Copenhagen Criteria.

The efforts to meet these criteria have had a mixed impact on gender equality across the CEE/CIS region. Firstly, the alignment with the acquis communautaire, the transposition of all EC law, including all directives on gender equality, has had a positive effect on the legal status of women. Notably, it has contributed to the creation of institutional bodies and legal mechanisms that support gender equality, if only in employment and social policy matters. Despite these positive developments, it is disputed to what extent various changes in the law have actually improved women's and men's lives, as there is often a stark difference between passing and implementing legislation. For instance, WIDE's research on the Czech Republic suggests that, "The changes in the Labour Code concerning discrimination have gone largely unnoticed."⁽⁷⁾

Moreover, an analysis of the entire 31 chapters of the *acquis communautaire* reveals that gender equality is only mentioned in chapter 13 which focuses on employment and social policy.⁽⁸⁾ The failure to mention gender equality throughout the document is a serious omission as it suggests that gender equality is not being mainstreamed or treated as a cross-cutting issue in the enlargement process. Various women's rights advocates have expressed concern about the EU's almost exclusive focus on gender equality in employment and social policy.⁽⁹⁾

Table 3: Summary of the analysis on enlargement and accession				
Legal DocumentGender equality is included as an objectiveGender mainstreaming is included as a strategyPoverty eradication included as an overa objective of developm cooperation				
Copenhagen Criteria	No	No	No	
Acquis Comunautaire	Yes, but very limited	No	No	

TT.

The emphasis on gender equality in employment and social policy reflects the detail outlined in this area in the EU Treaties related to the EU's internal social and employment policy, and hence, the emphasis given in legal terms to this aspect of gender equality. While this is important, other aspects of women's

Table 4: Summary of the analysis of the European Neighbourhood Policy					
Legal Document	Gender equality is included as an objective	included as an included as a obj			
Commission Communication, July 2003	No	No	Yes, but not as an overall objecitve		
Commission Communication, May 2004	No	Yes, but not obligatory	No		

rights, which have remained untouched by the negotiations on legal reform in the preparation of accession to the European Union, are at least equally relevant to the CEE/CIS region.

European Neighbourhood Policy

With the accession inclusion of ten countries to the EU in May 2004, the Union's external borders changed considerably. To avoid any stark division in the region, to encourage co-operation with its new

Regulation 806 on promoting gender equality in development co-operation

The objectives to be pursued by this Regulation, in accordance with the goal of promoting gender equality and empowering women as specified by the United Nations Millennium Development Goals, the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action...are the following: to support gender mainstreaming in all areas of development co-operation, combined with specific measures in favour of women of all ages, with the goal of promoting gender equality as an important contribution to poverty reduction. neighbours but also with a view to securing its borders, the EU has developed a new European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP). This policy, once in force, will define the EU's relations with 17 countries, including six from the CEE/CIS region. ⁽¹⁰⁾

Two European Commission Communications on the ENP have so far been produced. The first, entitled "Paving the way for a new Neighbourhood Instrument"⁽¹¹⁾ does not mention gender or women at all. Poverty eradication, health and education are all mentioned, however, not as principle objective of the ENP. A second document, the Commission Communication "European Neighbourhood Policy Strategy Paper", (12) includes gender equality as one of the "shared values" to be taken into account when devising action plans in the context of the ENP. The "promotion of equal opportunities for women" is only mentioned in the context of regional cooperation with Mediterranean countries and is optional: "possible areas for further sub-regional co-operation will be identified in the bilateral action plans and may include ... promotion of equal opportunities for women."

In a policy which aims to create a large area of shared values, peace and prosperity in wider Europe, the issue of gender equality is conspicuous only by its absence. There is clearly a need for an articulation of gender equality as an objective of the New Neighbourhood Policy, and an identification of parameters defining a judicial framework for action in this area. TT.

Development Co-operation Policy

Countries of the CEE/CIS region which are classified as developing countries by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the OECD are eligible for Official Development Assistance (ODA). EU ODA is arranged through a number of legal instruments under the EU Development Cooperation Policy.

A Council resolution adopted in 1998 sets a framework for gender policy in development co-operation.⁽¹³⁾ Though not legally binding it provides an important reference to a framework for action in this area. The resolution contains a two-fold approach to gender: (i) gender mainstreaming in the conception, design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of all programmes and interventions, and (ii) support to specific activities with women.

In 2000 a Joint Commission and Council Statement on EU Development Policy was adopted. This white paper seems to be shifting from the approach set out in the resolution, as it exclusively focuses on gender mainstreaming and does not mention women specific projects. The statement outlines six priority areas of EU development cooperation, and presents gender equality as a cross-cutting concern, alongside the promotion of human rights, children's rights and the environment. It is stated that these concerns, "should be mainstreamed at every stage of execution". The statement does not explain how the strategy of gender mainstreaming is to be implemented.

The development policy on gender mainstreaming has been further elaborated in the 2001 *Programme of Action for the mainstreaming of gender equality in Community Development Co-operation*.⁽¹⁴⁾ The document highlights the link between gender inequality and poverty and presents gender mainstreaming as an important tool for achieving the eradication of poverty. It states that,

"The main objective of the European Community Development Policy is to foster sustainable development designed to eradicate poverty in developing countries. Gender mainstreaming is an essential part of this."

Table 5: Comparison of Council Regulation 806 and the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action Critical Areas of Concern			
Regulation 806/2004 of the European Parliament and the Council on promoting gender equality in development co-operation	Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action		
Reproductive and sexual health	Inequalities and inadequacies in, and unequal access to, health care and related services.		
Violence against women	Violence against women		
Education and Training	Inequalities and inadequacies in, and unequal access to, education and training		
Environment	Gender inequalities in the management of natural resources and in the safeguarding of the environment		
Human rights	Lack of respect for, and inadequate promotion and protection of, the human rights of women		
Conflict prevention	The effects of armed or other kinds of conflict on women, including those living under foreign occupation		
Democratization and participation of women in political, economic and social decision-making process	Inequality between men and women in the sharing of power and decision- making at all levels		

Gender should be "analyzed and integrated into the six priority areas of EC development cooperation activities." The document gives a very useful outline of what kind of gender issues arise within these, seemingly neutral, priority areas.

TT

Table 6: Summary of the analysis of the EC Development Co-operation analysis					
Legal DocumentGender equality is included as an objectiveInclusion of women targeted actionsmainstreaming is included as a objectiveincluded as objective				Poverty eradication is included as an objective of development cooperation	
European Development Council, Council Resolution: Integrating gender issues in development cooperation. Brussels, European Council, 20 December 1995.	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Joint Commission and Council Statement on EU Development Policy, 2000	No	No	Yes	Yes	

Regulation 806 "on promoting gender equality in development cooperation" re-focuses EC gender policy in development cooperation on the twofold approach of (i) mainstreaming and (ii) specific action.(see page 25) Presenting gender equality as its overall aim, as well as recognizing the importance of gender equality to eradicating poverty, the regulation calls for gender mainstreaming in the six priority areas of EC development policy and for specific measures for the empowerment of women. In particular, it calls for action in the field of reproductive and sexual health, violence against women, girl-child issues, education and training of women, the environment, human rights, conflict prevention, democratization and the participation of women in the political, economic, and social decision-making process. Thus, it endorses almost all the critical areas of concern outlined in the Beijing Declaration and Platform for action, as shown in table 8.

Moreover, the regulation specifically reiterates the importance of CEDAW, as well as the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action and the Millennium Development Goals. It provides a comprehensive understanding of gender equality as well as a strong and clear commitment by the EU to promoting equality between men and women in development cooperation.

Table 7: Summary of the analysis of regional assistance programmes					
Legal Document is included as an women targeted included as a included as a included as a				Poverty eradication is included as an objective	
PHARE Council Regulation	No	No	No	No	
CARDS Council Regulation	Yes	No	No	Yes	
TACIS Council Regulation	No	No	Yes	No	
Pre-accession Assistance to Turkey	Yes, only with reference to Social Policy and Employment	No	Yes	No	

II.

PHARE:

Countries covered by PHARE are: Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, Romania and Bulgaria.

Central objective: Provision of assistance to countries in their preparation for EU accession¹

TACIS

Countries covered by TACIS are

Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Moldova, the Russian Federation, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Turkmenistan, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan.

Central objective: support the transition to market economies and democratic societies through grant-financed technical assistance.

Regional Assistance Programmes

As outlined above, cooperation with all countries in the CEE/CIS region is further defined through specific policies and programmes: PHARE, CARDS, TACIS, and Pre-Accession Assistance for Turkey. An analysis of the commitments to gender equality and poverty eradication in all these policies reveals that overall, they are very weak and inconsistent. The PHARE regulation does not refer to gender equality, women or poverty at all. CARDS and TACIS do mention gender, although in rather weak terms. CARDS states that Community Assistance shall be for, "social development, with particular reference to poverty reduction, gender equality...". The formulation in the TACIS regulation is even more cursoury: " Measures shall be implemented taking into account (..) the promotion of equal opportunities for women." There is no explanation as to which opportunities are referred to or with whom women should be equal. Thus, gender equality is not explicitly considered as an objective in PHARE, CARDS or TACIS. Further, gender

CARDS

Countries covered by CARDS are:

Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Serbia and Montenegro.

Central objective: Provision of assistance to support the participation of these five countries in the Stabilisation and Association Process (SAP).

Pre-accession financial assistance to Turkey

Country covered: Turkey

Central objective: Provision of assistance to support Turkey to carry out reforms required for membership to the EU as stated in the Accession Partnership for Turkey.

mainstreaming is not included as a strategy in any of the regulations.

The pre-accession assistance to Turkey contains slightly stronger commitments to gender equality. In the Accession Partnership, equal treatment for women and men in relation to Social Policy and Employment is included as an objective. Moreover, gender aspects should be taken into account, "*prior to appraisals of programmes and projects*".⁽¹⁵⁾

This analysis shows that the strong commitments at the highest legal level, are not followed through in the specific regulations, defining the EU's relation to the CEE/CIS region. Nowhere is there a clear and explicit commitment to gender equality as an independent objective of the EU's assistance to these countries. Gender mainstreaming, if included at all, is referred to in very weak terms, and in the case of TACIS (reference to "equal opportunities of women") seems misunderstood. Commitments to poverty eradication are also very weak.



How much EC aid to the CEE/CIS region is dedicated to the promotion of gender equality

This section gives an overview of the financial instruments and their sectoral allocation, and provides a budget analysis of available resources for promoting gender equality in the region.

Pre-Accession aid – PHARE

The PHARE programme is for the largest part allocated through annual National Programmes bilaterally agreed with each country. Assistance to the current ten New Member States was arranged under this system until 2003. Each country has its own system of categorisation of assistance, making any comparison close to impossible. This is a likely explanation why the European Commission does not provide comparative figures of sectoral allocation under the PHARE programme. The Commission also does not encode the allocations under the programme under a system of agreed categories for further analysis. This creates an obstacle for a comparative analysis of how resources support measures to promote gender equality.

OECD instruments to measure budgetary allocation to the promotion of gender equality and the empowerment of women

- Credit Report System Sector: Women in Development (WID) This considers projects which specifically target women.
- Gender Equality Marker: This measures whether the promotion of gender equality in any given project is
 - the principle objective
 the significant objective
 not an objective at all

This section will analyze resource allocation through:

- PHARE Instrument
- CARDS Instrument
- TACIS Instrument
- Pre-Accession Assistance to Turkey

The budget line for PHARE contains an explicit remark regarding gender mainstreaming in all the measures taken under these budget lines. It states that, "All the measures should be subject to gender mainstreaming. Furthermore, an appropriate yet nonetheless substantial portion of the Phare budget, to be used exclusively for projects aimed at women, will be earmarked for this purpose".⁽¹⁾

An analysis of projects allocated under the financial agreements in 2003 shows that many do not have any specific allocation of funds to the social sector. Even if they do, a closer look suggests that most of the money is dedicated to the promotion of business development and support for small and medium-sized enterprises, such as in the case of Bulgaria and Poland. Depending on the design and goals of this support, business development can positively impact on the empowerment of women, however nothing in the projects analysed suggests that a gender perspective is included in any adequate or consistent manner of the projects analysed seem to be directly relevant to promoting women's equality or to addressing specific

Facts and Figures:

- The EU and its Member States provide more than 50% of ODA and OA⁽²⁾ world wide
- The European Commission provides 10% of ODA world wide
- The EC Budget 2003 commited 35% of EC external aid to the CEE/CIS region.
- More than 50% of this aid was given to the PHARE programme.

gender problems such as: domestic violence, reproductive health-care and maternal health care, HIV/AIDS, child care, discrimination against women in employment (wage differential), womens' unemployment, women's access to productive resources (land, etc), and the lack of political representation of women. In fact, social support systems are hardly supported and poverty and social exclusion are not focused on by the activities funded under the PHARE programme.

Despite the legal obligation included in the budget to earmark funds for gender, the implementation of this cannot be tracked because the EU does not adequadely use the OECD Gender Equality Marker which would allow the measurement of resources allocated to gender mainstreaming. (see page 29) Thus, the only way to establish whether any EC assistance under PHARE mainstreams gender in an adequate way is to screen every project individually. This would require very extensive research and is beyond the scope of this study. Although some tentative research on projects in Romania suggests that various projects, not recorded as mainstreaming gender or as having women as a specific target group, do indeed promote gender equality,⁽³⁾ no comprehensive conclusions can be drawn from this. What, however, clearly emerges from



the above is the need for the EU to adequately use the OECD Gender Equality Marker. Only when this is the case will the aid process be transparent and allow for accountability on the part of the EU institutions.

CARDS, TACIS & Pre-Accession Assistance to Turkey

Similar to PHARE, CARDS and the Pre-Accession Assistance to Turkey contain a commitment to promoting gender equality through its financial assistance, while TACIS does not mention gender at all. The actual implementation of these commitments can be tracked through figures provided by the OECD/ DAC through CRS/WID and the Gender Equality

Table 8: Summary of the analysis of the financial framework										
Instrument	Commitment in the Budget Line	OECD Sector Women in Development	Gender Marker	Basic Social Services	Education	Health				
PHARE	"an appropriate yet nonetheless substantial portion of the Phare budget, to be used exclusively for projects aimed at women, will be earmarked for this purpose"	0.00%	no available data	Bulgaria: 20% Latvia: 10%	0.00%	0.00%				
CARDS	general commitment to promote gender equality	0.00%	one project principle objective	0.53%	6.00%	1.63%				
TACIS	nothing on gender 0.00% one project significant 0.00%		0.00%	4.58%	0.00%					
Pre-Accession Assistance to Turkey	general commitment to promote gender equality	0.02%	one project significant objective	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				



Marker (see page 29). These show that no EC funds under TACIS or CARDS were committed to projects specifically targeting women. The analysis of funding to Turkey reveals one EC funded project specifically targeted at women, which accounts for only 0.02% of the total EC commitments to Turkey in 2003.⁽⁴⁾ (see table 8)

With regard to the Gender Equality Marker, data on EC commitments in 2003 show that only three projects have been marked as including gender equality as a significant objective, and one project as having gender as a

Table 9:Where does the money go?(Top three sectors)							
Instrument	Sector	% of total budget					
CARDS	government and civil society	33.38%					
	judicial development	7.40%					
	economic infrastructure, energy generation and supply	19.31%					
TACIS	economic infrastructure, energy generation and supply	34.55%					
	social services, mainly research/scientific institutions	24.86%					
	economic and development policy/planning	21.43%					
Pre-Accession Assistance to Turkey	government and civil society	47.59%					
	rural development	31.06%					
	multi-sector aid	21.47%					

principle objective.⁽⁵⁾ (see table 8)

Commitments to basic social services in all the financial instruments is, if existent at all, alarmingly low. For details please see table 12. **Conclusion**

Distribution of EC aid

Community Aid has become increasingly skewed from Lower Income Countries to Middle Income Countries during the last decade.

Per capita receipt of EC aid by:

- Low Income Countries :\$ 0.55
- Middle Income Countries: \$ 1.2
- CEE/CIS region: \$ 5.44

The European Community has invested extensive amounts of resources in the CEE/CIS region. However these investments have, by and large, not been used to address increasing problems of social exclusion and poverty. The allocation of resources fails to reflect the priority given to 'social cohesion' in the legal definition of the programme. Additionally, gender problems have been entirely ignored, despite the legal obligations in some instruments such as PHARE, to address issues related to gender equality. Are the EU's commitments to promoting gender equality and poverty eradication adequately reflected in programming and implementation of assistance to the CEE/CIS region

he EU defines its strategies of assistance to the CEE/CIS region through Accession Partnerships and Country Strategy Papers.

The Accession Partnerships provide an assessment of priority areas of the *acquis communautaire*, in which the candidate country needs to make progress in order to prepare for accession, and outline the ways in which the PHARE Programme will support such accession preparations. The Accession Partnerships thus provide a single framework for the programming of the priorities of each candidate country and of the financial means available to implement those priorities. Accession Partnerships are revised annually.

Country Strategy Papers (CSPs) are drafted according to specific guidelines from the European Commission. CSPs provide a country analysis which outlines the overall situation in a recipient country and defines the priorities of the EC response strategy. This strategy is then translated into a specific range of programmes and projects in a Multi-Annual or National Indicative Programme (MIP or NIP).

Accession Partnerships

An analysis of the previous Accession Partnerships shows that most countries must make a special effort to align with Community legislation on gender equality. However, as outlined in chapter II, focus on gender equality is limited to issues of Social Policy and Employment and gender is not mainstreamed throughout the 31 chapters of the *acquis*. None of the Accession Partnerships refer to education and health issues in relation to women or gender or to poverty reduction.

Country Strategy Papers :

A. Commission Guidelines

The drafting of CSPs is mainly guided by the European Commission "Guidelines for implementation of the

This section will analyse programming and implementation by looking at:

- Accession Partnerships
- Country Strategy Papers:
 - Commission Guidelines
 - Country Analysis
 - EU Response Strategy
 - Multi-Annual and National

Common Framework for Country Strategy Papers" (1) of May 2001, which includes a list of principles that shall motivate all aspects of programming. While poverty reduction and gender equality are included, their consideration is optional. Gender is presented as a cross-cutting issue to be "main streamed". However, no guidance is given as to how this should be done. Moreover, inclusion of a gender perspective in the EC response strategy is made conditional upon whether the country analysis indicates a, "major disparity of treatment between men and women". This is problematic, as the guidelines do not require a consideration of gender inequalities in the country analysis. An examination of all CSPs for the CEE/CIS region reveals that gender inequality is included in only five out of the fourteen country and regional analyses. Therefore, only in these five countries is the EU required to take gender issues into account. This point is further elaborated in the section on *country analysis* below.

EC Programming Documents

- Country Strategy Paper (CSP)
- Regional Strategy Paper (RSP) *contain:*
 - Country Analysis
 - EC Response Strategy
- Multi-Annual Indicative Programme (MIP)
- National Indicative Programme (NIP) *contain:*
 - Specific range of projects and pro grammes that will be undertaken by the EC.



Although poverty eradication appears as one of the fundamental principles in the Guidelines, it is not one of the main criteria for the drawing up of CSPs. A references to poverty appears in one of the six common elements that a CSP should include, but this is not defined as an objective or a priority and do not have to be included as a matter of obligation. The CSP guidelines do not include any reference to basic education and/or health as criteria to be addressed in the strategy papers.

CSPs must be coherent and consistent with other EU policies, and there are certain areas where coherence must be ensured. This is specified in the European Commission document "*Country Strategy Papers and Policy Mix: Guidelines for the examination of the issue of Policy Mix in CSPs*."⁽²⁾ Accordingly, policies on development, trade, agriculture, fishing and foreign and security policy must be at the centre of the coherence analysis.

B. Country Analysis

As stated above, the guidelines do not require CSPs to include an assessment of gender inequality or poverty in the country analysis. Despite this, most of the country or regional strategies analysed for this report acknowledge the existence of the problem of poverty. Concerning gender equality and the situation of women, only four out of the twelve country strategy papers considered include these issues in their country analysis.⁽³⁾ Even within these four, some are very restrictive and limited in the extent to which they consider gender issues. The Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 for Serbia and Montenegro merely recognizes that, "Gender discrimination is still an issue that requires attention," but does not elaborate further on this point. Concerning the regional strategy papers, only in the case of Central Asia is an analysis of the status and situation of women provided.

Issues concerning education are considered in nine out of the twelve country analyses examined. The Country Strategy Papers for Belarus and the Russian Federation do not analyse the state of education in the respective countries. Moreover, none of the regional strategy papers analysed (Central Asia and CARDS) include any reference to education in their regional analysis. The main problems identified in the education sector are the decline in quality, the decrease in enrolment rates and the increase in drop-out rates in primary, and secondary education as well as access to education for minorities (i.e. Roma in Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia). Only the country analysis on Armenia includes a gender perspective regarding education. It states that the, "decline of the educational system has put back the cause of women particularly in the rural sector".

An analysis of the state of health and the health care system is included in nine out of the twelve country strategy papers considered with only Albania, Armenia the Russian Federation not including any reference. Some of the most pressing problems outlined include the general deterioration of people's health, especially that of women and children's.

C. EC response strategy

An examination of the inclusion of gender equality or gender mainstreaming in the proposed EC response strategies shows that they are generally not integrated. Nine out of the thirteen documents analysed do not contain any reference to gender at all. Four documents include mainstreaming as a strategy; however, do so in weak terms. The EC response strategy for Central Asia is a good example to illustrate the weakness of the language used. First, gender mainstreaming is only included in the "Track 3: Pilot poverty reduction schemes" and does not seem to be an element that should apply to other priority areas of the EC cooperation. Secondly, the terms used relegate gender mainstreaming to a rather minor priority as it states: 'Finally, development of civil society, gender issues and promotion of participation in public decisionmaking will be central to efforts aimed at poverty reduction".

Furthermore, gender equality is not considered as an objective in any of the response strategies, with the exception of Albania and Georgia. The EC response strategy for Albania states that, equality between men and women, 'is a question of fundamental human rights and a precondition for fair, democratic and sustainable development. Development co-operation should focus on the structural reasons for inequality and promote the participation of both men and women in the process of increasing gender equality. Focus should be on the relation between men and women rather than on women exclusively. The gender



perspective should be an integral part of all development co-operation with Albania'.

Poverty eradication is clearly stated as a 'critical objective' for most EC support to the CEE/CIS region, with the exception of the Russian Federation and Belarus.

Throughout the CSPs analysed, education is given much attention. Mostly, however, reference is made to vocational education training (VET) and to higher education (TEMPUS) and no gender perspective is included. Only four out of thirteen strategy papers include health reform in their EC response strategy and again no specific reference to gender or particular issues primarily affecting women, such as reproductive health, is included.

D. Multi-Annual and National Indicative Programmes An analysis of the Multi-Annual and National Indicative Programmes (MIPs/NIPs), which give an overview of specific programmes and projects shows that none explicitly refer to poverty eradication. With the exception of the NIP 2005-2006 for Central Asia, none of the programmes make any reference to gender equality or the strategy of gender mainstreaming.

It is important to note that none of the other countries

which showed problems of gender inequality in the country analysis (Serbia, Armenia and Belarus) or which according to their EC response strategy should include gender aspects (Albania, Georgia and Serbia) actually include any commitment to gender mainstreaming or specific projects in their MIPs/NIPs.

Education, in particular support to vocational education training (VET) and to higher education (TEMPUS), is included as a priority sector in all MIPs/NIPs of the CEE/CIS countries. The TEMPUS programme focuses on the development of the higher education systems and its main beneficiaries are universities. For example in Armenia, the programme of 2002-2004 addresses the following issues in particular:

- curriculum development and renewal in priority areas;
- reform and modernisation of the structure and management of higher education institutions in view of strengthening their role in the society;
- development of skills related training to address specific higher and advanced level skills shortage during the transition, in

particular through improved and extended links with industry;

• contribution of higher education and civil society training.

This focus on higher education and vocational education training suggests that the EC strategy on education in the CEE/CIS region outlined in the NIPs, does not correspond to the needs and problems identified in the country analyses.

Concerning health, only four MIPs¹ include specific programmes on health sector reform while the poverty alleviation programmes described in the IP 2005-2006 for Central Asia require a specific focus to the strengthening of preventive and primary healthcare. As an example, the expected results of the programmes that support health sector reform in the MIP 2004-2006 for Georgia are:

- functioning and more efficient health services;
- sustainable financing of health system;
- improving access to primary health care;
- preventive medicine activities expanded.

However, none of these programmes include specific focus on gender or on issues of specific importance to women.

Table 10: Summary of the analysis of programming and implementation									
	Is gender equality included as an objective?	For guidelines: does gender equality have to be an objective?	Is gender mainstreaming included as a compulsory strategy?	For guidelines: does gender mainstreaming have to be included?	Is poverty eradication included as an objective?				
Accession Partnerhsips	No	-	No	-	No				
CSP Guidelines	-	No	-	No	-				
CSP Country Analysis	Only in 4/13	-	Yes	-	Yes 9/13				
CSP EC Response Strategy	Only in 1/13	-	Yes in 11/13	-	no gender focus				
MIP/NIP	no gender focus	-	No	-	No				

To what extent is the impact of EC programmes and projects on gender equality in the CEE/CIS region evaluated

Since 2000, the European Commission has emphasised that its programmes should be measured in terms of actual impact in recipient countries. For candidate countries, annual progress reports are produced, assessing the progress of the criteria for accession in every country. Assistance to the other countries in the CEE/CIS region is evaluated through European Commission Evaluations. For the purpose of these evaluations, specific guidelines were drawn up by the European Commission in 2001.

Candidate Countries' Annual Progress Reports

It is clear from the *Annual Progress Reports* that no systematic analysis of the legal and de facto progress of candidate countries in the field of equal opportunities has been undertaken. Statements on the situation of women and on gender equality are scarce, remain very general, and do not allow for year-to-year or country-to-country comparisons of progress. Criteria and indicators for assessing progress are not explained. Moreover, information in the Annual Reports on gender inequality in candidate countries is often incomplete or obsolete.

For instance, the *Regular Report on Poland's Progress Towards Accession*, only includes a few references to gender equality in the chapter on social policy and employment addressing the progress towards adopting the *acquis communautaire* on the equal treatment of women and men. Furthermore, the comments were limited to the proposed legislative changes. The report states that progress has been made because the *acquis communautaire* on equal treatment of women and men has more or less been transposed through amendments to the Labour Code. This ignores the de facto situation in the country.

In none of the reports has gender been mainstreamed.

This section will analyse evaluations by looking at:

- Candidate Countries' Annual Progress Reports
- European Commission Evaluation Guidelines
- Five EC Evaluations
 - CARDS
 - Russian Federation
 - Moldova
 - Turkmenistan
 - Ukraine

European Commission Evaluation Guidelines

The guidelines for Commission evaluations of development programmes are enshrined in the document, "Evaluation in the European *Commission*⁽¹⁾ issued by the Evaluation Unit in 2001. They define the evaluation principles, criteria and basic issues to be taken into account in EC evaluations. Gender equality, poverty alleviation and the environment are included in the annex as "key crosscutting issues for consideration in the evaluation of EC aid programmes". However, there is no obligation to take any of the cross-cutting issues into account. The document states that they only need to be considered, "when carrying out an evaluation of any project in which they could be of significance." Also the analysis of the actual impact of projects on crosscutting issues is optional. The guidelines state that, "Where appropriate, all gender related, environmental and poverty related impacts and any lack of overall impact resulting from neglect of these issues" should be examined. Thus, it is not obligatory that evaluations consider gender and poverty eradication as part of any evaluation procedure.

The lack of focus on gender and poverty in the evaluation guidelines is carried through to the actual evaluations done by the Commission. Below it is assessed whether poverty eradication and gender were considered in the evaluations examined. In the next section an overview of the actual impact reported in those evaluations in terms of poverty and gender is given.
Consideration of 'poverty' and 'gender' in evaluation reports

In the sample of five evaluations examined for this study⁽²⁾, poverty eradication is mentioned in four and gender equality in only three.

Poverty eradication:

The country evaluation of Moldova recognises poverty as a very serious problem. However, it does not evaluate the impact of EC assistance on poverty reduction. The evaluation of the CARDS region similarly mentions poverty as a crucial problem in the region and concludes that the EC strategy should have a stronger poverty focus. Also the evaluations on Turkmenistan and Ukraine consider the impact of EC assistance on poverty reduction, while the evaluation of the assistance given to the Russian Federation does not consider poverty at all.

Gender equality:

Gender equality, or any reference to women's rights or women's issues, is missing from the evaluations on EC assistance to the Russian Federation and Moldova. Although the evaluation of the CARDS region considers gender, it does so in a very limited way. The report simply concludes that, "gender mainstreaming has been (...) weak in most countries" and recommends that gender mainstreaming should be included in most sectoral programmes. This is problematic as firstly no explanations or strategies for improvement are provided. Secondly, the evaluation only recommends inclusion of gender in *most* sectoral programmes. This is similar to the findings in the evaluation for Turkmenistan. It states that, generally, no evidence of activities in favour of gender equality is found, but provides no recommendations to improve the situation.

Gender equality is also mentioned in the country evaluation of Ukraine. One of the evaluation questions in the report is: "*How far have the Commission's programmes taken into account cross-cutting issues such as equal opportunities for women...?*" The judgement criteria for this question include whether programmes/projects have systematically taken into account cross-cutting issues and the indicators are the percentage of programmes/projects that have included relevant cross-cutting issues in their objectives and activities. This does not provide relevant information of the actual impact of projects on gender inequalities.

The above shows that, if included at all, gender equality, presented as a cross-cutting issue, has been fundamentally misunderstood.

Apart from the above, it is important to note that, although rhetorically stressing the importance of evaluating the effectiveness of its programmes since 2000, there has been a dramatic decline in the number of evaluations carried out since then.

	Table 11: Evaluations carried out by EuropeAid/Aidco 2000-2003										
	Is the impact of the projects on gender equality evaluated?	For guidelines: Does the impact on gender equality have to be evaluated?	Is the implementation of gender mainstreaming evaluated?	For Guidelines: Does the implementation of gender mainstreaming have to be evaluated?							
Candidate Countries Annual Progress Reports	No	-	No	-							
Commission Evaluation Guidelines	-	No	-	No							
Commission Evaluations	No	-	Yes	-							

What is the reported impact on poverty eradication and gender equality?

Any examination of the actual impact of EC assistance on gender equality and poverty eradication in the five countries, based on the available reports, is problematic as the information provided is very limited.

The few evaluations which do consider gender mainstreaming, curiously do not focus on the impact of mainstreaming on equality between women and men, but rather on whether gender mainstreaming as a strategy is considered in the projects. This reflects a fundamental misunderstanding of gender mainstreaming, as it must not be seen as an end in itself but merely as a strategy to achieve the objective of gender equality. Where the impact on poverty eradication was considered the effectiveness of its inclusion is questionable. The projects in Ukraine were considered as "highly relevant to the growing poverty and health needs of the population" while in Turkmenistan the impact of TACIS on poverty alleviation was seen as "minimal".



ith the addition of 10 countries to the EU on 1 May 2004, eight of which come from Central and Eastern Europe, Europe is overcoming the painful division it suffered during the Cold War. This new era provides an historic opportunity to unify the continent. It is vitally important that the enlargement of the European Union does not create new divisions and new forms of inclusion and exclusion.

The European Union has a clear responsibility to ensure the inclusive nature of its relations to Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States. This needs to be reflected in all its policies towards the region.

The assistance programmes of the European Commission towards the region have been very much biased to ends supporting businesses, liberalisation and administrative reform - while overlooking the social dimensions of the reform policies carried out in the last fifteen years.

With a strong emphasis on economic reform, gender equality has been relagated down the policy agenda, and the consequences of this downgrading are becoming increasingly visible.

There is demonstrable evidence that the economic reforms have created significant insecurity for many women. The labour market is characterised by strong gender segregation. There are still pressing problems in health care, with indicators related to reproductive health, such as high maternal mortality rates in a number of countries, being reasons for corncern.

The lack of representation of women in national parliaments is also a serious problem. With 13% women parliamentarians the region only one place above the Arab region. Problems of violence against women, including domestic violence, need to be addressed but suffer from a lack of adequate attention and investment.

For the EU to be a credible global player it is essential that Europe's values are reflected in its internal as well as its external policies – and this is especially vital for the EU's relations with Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States – given their ever-closer relationship.

Moreover, the EU and its Member States have committed themselves to the promotion of gender equality and poverty eradication in all their external assistance, as they have signed the BPfA and the Millenium Declaration and all the Member States have ratified CEDAW.

This report has attempted to analyze to what extent the EU recognizes its responsibilities and lives up to its commitments in its assistance to Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States.

This was done by dividing the development policy process into four phases: the legal and financial framework, budget allocation, programme and implementation and evalution and impact.

The overall finding is that there is a great gap between high level legal obligations and political commitments and their actual implementation on the ground. In other words, when it comes to gender equality and poverty eradication, there seems to be a "policy evaporation" the further one moves through the process.

The European Union's commitments to promoting gender equality, as set out in the Beijing Declaration and Platform of Action, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women and the legal framework of the EC Treaty do not sufficiently inform the more specific legal documents on various policies and instruments towards the CEE/CIS. While the new Constitutional Treaty does affirm the legal oblications in relation to gender equality, this rhetoric will be meaningless if systematic measures are not taken to tackle the pre-existing structural inadequecies throughout the policy process.

These few and vague references to gender mainstreaming indicate a fundamental misunderstanding of the strategy. Firstly they often do not contain the word "gender" but rather refer to "equal opportunities for women". Secondly, they are not matched with a general objective of gender equality. This indicates that gender mainstreaming is being misunderstood as an end in itself rather than as a tool in order to achieve the objective of gender equality. Worse still, is the fact that its consideration is in the first place restricted to the areas of employment and social policy. These problems of misinterpretation and restriction compound each other, and reinforce that structural gap between legal obligations and political commitments, and implementation on the ground.

The financial framework shows a continuation of this trend with extremely limited resources allocated to women specific projects, decreasing amounts dedicated to basic social services and no device to measure funds that go to projects which successfully mainstream gender. Data from the OECD/DAC indicate that only three out of all the EC projects in the CEE/CIS region in 2003 included some gender component.

The lack of focus on gender equality and poverty eradication is carried through to the programming and implementation documents. Although the majority of documents analysed make reference to gender mainstreaming only one combines this with the objective of achieving gender equality.

Concerning evaluations the analysis shows that overall the total number of evaluations carried out by the Commission has decreased considerably over recent years. Further, the evaluations examined did not adequately consider the impact of EC aid to the CEE/ CIS on the promotion of gender equality.

To be true to its founding principles and it political and legal obligations, the EC must considerably improve its implementation of high level statements on gender equality and poverty eradication in its external assistance to the CEE/CIS region. This is necessary in order to realise the vision of the EU as a socially responsible, global actor in the wider European region, which actively contributes to a more peaceful, stable, and just world.





Towards an integrated social Europe

- 1 The European Union must strive to achieve a region of prosperity for Europe in its entirety. It is, therefore, of crucial importance that poverty and social exclusion is addressed in the wider region of Europe as a whole, including the New Member States and the other countries in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States.
- 2 The European Union's assistance to Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States prior to May 2004, including assistance to the then accession countries did not adequately address the social aspects of the reform processes that had taken place. Projects were strongly biased towards developing and supporting private sector enterprises. The accession negotiations as well as assistance programmes to other countries in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States, should have included gender in a more comprehensive way. Today, the countries which have now joined the Union are in a better situation, as the internal EU gender policies are more comprehensive. However, the countries in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States still outside the EU need the Union to strengthen its support for social policies and ensure that assistance is geared towards pressing social problems such as gender inequality and poverty in the region as a whole. The European Neighbourhood Policy - and the regulation negotiated as part of the EU financial perspectives 2007-2013 - should adequately reflect this need.
- 3 The European Commission should organise a conference and ongoing process of dialogue with women's organisations of countries to be included in the European Neighbourhood Policy, on the inclusion of gender equality objectives and measures in the policy.

- 4 The European Parliament and the EU Council should follow with vigilance the definition of the European Neighbourhood Policy and ensure that the objective of women's equality is adequately addressed.
- 5 The European Commission and the EU Budget Authority, must ensure that gender experts are appointed to ensure that gender equality is adequately pursued as part of the European Neighbourhood Policy.
- 6 In the context of agreeing the Financial Perspectives, the EU Council must ensure that adequate allocations are made to ensure gender equality in the context of the European Neighbourhood Policy, and the Budget Authority must ensure that adequate provisions are built in to measure whether these allocations have been implemented by the European Commission.
- 7 The Political Dialogue of the European Union with Third Countries in the CEE/CIS must address gender equality issues, and in particular, address the issue of extremely low representation of women in national Parliaments of these countries.
- 8 The European Parliament, the political groups and their constituent members within EU Member States, as well as the European Commission must ensure equal political representation of women from all Member States, including New Member States.
- 9 The EU Council and European Commission must ensure that accession negotiations with future candidates should include goals to achieve gender equality in a more comprehensive way.

Developing a European Union Policy for gender equality in the CEE/CIS region

The EU's legal and political framework

- 10 The different weight given to the objective of gender equality in the EU's internal and external actions in the Treaty of the European Union should be re-balanced. Gender equality, the empowerment of women, and the promotion of women's human rights, must be recognized as explicit objectives of the EU's policies with the wider world in their own right.
- 11 The enlargement procedure, including the *acquis communautaire*, should include more specific references to gender equality.
- 12 In line with the BPfA, gender mainstreaming must be clearly presented as a strategy, alongside women-specific projects, to achieve the objective of gender equality, and not as an objective in itself. This must be clearly recognized in all relevant political and legal EU documents, such as the PHARE, TACIS, CARDS regulations, accession aid to Turkey and the new European Neighbourhood Instrument. The Commission should explicitly identify how the programmes will ensure that gender is to be mainstreamed.
- 13 Many countries that will be included in the European Neighbourhood Policy are listed among developing countries. This aspect, and related awareness of poverty in these countries, need to influence the shape and priorities of the policy. Commitments of the EU, to the regulation on gender in development, should also be applied to the developing countries of the CEE/CIS region.

Financial support

14 Financial resources allocated to the promotion of gender equality and the empowerment of women should be clearly identified. This should be done through the OECD/DAC recording system of sectoral allocations ('reporting of the purpose of aid') and the OECD/DAC Gender Equality Marker. This would allow more precise tracking of financial support to countries in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States that is allocated to the promotion of gender equality. This would also crucially increase the level of transparency and accountability of EC procedures

Programming & Implementation

- 15 Commission guidelines for Country Strategy Papers and other national or regional planning/ programming documents for Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States should include the strategy of gender mainstreaming and the objective of gender equality in an explicit and consistent way. The promotion of gender equality must be presented as a matter of obligation in all country strategies and not made dependent upon the verdict of the country analysis on the status of women in the given country.
- 16 The participation of civil society organisations, particularly women's organisations, in the political dialogue process should be strengthened and should be institutionalised. The EU should establish structures for ongoing civil society participation in the programming phase where the country analysis is undertaken and priorities for action are identified.

Evaluations & Impact

- 17 Commission guidelines on evaluations must include explicit reference to gender and must make an evaluation of the impact of any project on gender equality compulsory.
- 18 Commission Evaluations must be carried out on a more frequent basis to allow for greater accountability.



- 19 Annual Progress Reports on EU Accession Countries must include an assessment of the situation of gender equality in the country as a matter of obligation.
- 20 The Commission must develop indicators to monitor whether European Community aid is having an impact on promoting gender equality goals. Civil Society, including Women's Rights' organisations could play a role in monitoring the Commission's gender equality goals.

Human resources

- 21 The European Commission should establish six permanent positions for gender experts in DG External Relations, DG Development, EuropeAid, DG Trade, DG Enlargement and ECHO. There must be coordination between these officials to ensure policy coherence.
- 22 Gender awareness training of EC staff should be compulsory, periodic and aimed at staff at all levels.

Strengthening women's organisations

- 23 The European Commission should engage in a dialogue and follow up with women's organisations from the Central Asian Republics. The European Commission should identify how it can ensure that gender equality is promoted in this region, with a view to ensure that the European value of equality between men and women is promoted in these countries. Specific gender expert(s) should be appointed to address this issue, and work with Member States to strengthen common action in this regard. Special financial allocations to supporting gender equality in the CIS region should be identified.
- 24 The European Commission should strengthen its engagement with Civil Society Women's Organisations in the countries included in the European Neighbourhood Policy, as well as in Central Asia, with a view to strengthen civil

society and women's organisations in these countries and their ability to engage in EU cooperation with their countries and regions.

25 The European Commission should identify specific financial support in the context of the European Neighbourhood Policy to strengthen women's organisations in its neighbouring region. ■

Introduction:

- New Member States, Accession Countries, Potential Candidate Countries, countries that fall within gender EC Development Cooperation Policy and, subject to ratification of European Neighborhood Instrument, countries that are covered by the new Neighborhood Policy.
- 2 *Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action*, Fourth World Conference on Women, 15 September 1995, A/CONF.177/20 (1995) and A/CONF.177/20/Add.1 (1995).
- 3 UN, *United Nations Millennium Declaration*, UN General Assembly 55/2, N.Y., 8 September 2000.
- 4 UNDP, Human Development Report: Cultural Liberty in Today's Diverse World, 2004, available at: http://hdr.undp.org/reports/ global/2004/
- In Malta, Luxembourg and Spain the participation of women in the non-agricultural sectors is less than 40%, whereas in Sweden, Bulgaria, Estonia, Slovakia, Ukraine, Latvia, Moldova and Belarus it is more than 50%. See Social Watch, Annex 4a
- 6 The term "life cycle" refers to different stages in a woman's life depending mainly on her age.
- 7 see: http://www.astra.org.pl/violence.htm
- 8 Ibid.
- 9 see: http://www.stopvaw.org/ Traffikcing_in_Women.html
- 10 European Union, *Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe*, at: http://europa.eu.int/constitution/ index_en.htm.

- Council of the European Union, Council Regulation (EC) No 2836/98 of 22 December 1998 on integrating of gender issues in development cooperation.
- 12 see: http://www.astra.org.pl/violence.htm
- Council of the European Union, Declaration by the Council and the Commission on the European Community's development policy, Brussles, 16 November 2000.
- 14 Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, UN GA, 1979, available at: http://www.un.org/ womenwatch/daw/cedaw/cedaw.htm.
- Alliance 2015 is a group of development NGOs across Europe who are dedicated to contribute to the realization of the Millennium Development Goals. see: http://www.alliance2015.org

Chapter II: Legal Framework

- European Union, Consolidated version of the 1 Treaty on the European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community, Official Journal of the European Communities, article 177: "Community policy in the sphere of development cooperation...shall foster: the sustainable economic and social development of the developing countries...the smooth and gradual integration of the developing countries into the world economy, the campaign against poverty in the developing countries...The Community Policy in this area shall contribute to the general objective of developing and consolidating democracy and the rule of law, and to that of respecting human rights and fundamental freedoms."
- 2 European Union, *Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe*, at: http:// europa.eu.int/constitution/index_en.htm.



- 3 ECOSOC, Mainstreaming the gender perspective into all policies and programmes in the United Nations system, July 1997.
- 4 Council of Europe, *Gender mainstreaming:* conceptual framework, methodology and presentation of good practices, May 1998.
- 5 European Commission, *Community Framework Strategy on Gender Equality*. (2001-2005)
- 6 Council Directive 75/117/EEC; Council Directive 76/207/EEC; Council Directive 256/EC and 1999/311/EC.
- 7 WIDE, Information Sheet, *Gender equality and EU accession: The situation in the Czech Republic*, November 2003.
- 8 WIDE, Steinhilber, S., Women's Rights and Gender Equality in the EU Enlargement – an Opportunity for Progress, October 2002.
- 9 Ibid.
- 10 Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Moldova and Ukraine.
- 11 Commission Communication, *Paving the way* for a New Neighborhood Instrument COM (2003)393FINAL, 1.07.2003.
- 12 Commission Communication European Neighborhood Policy Strategy Paper COM (2004) 373FINAL, 12.05.2004.
- European Development Council, Council Resolution: Integrating Gender Issues in Development Co-operation, Brussels, European Council, 20 December 1995.
- European Commission, Programme of Action for the Mainstreaming of Gender Equality in Community Development Cooperation, 2001/ 295 (2001).

15 Council of the European Union, Council Regulation (EC)2500/2001 of 17 December 2001 concerning pre-accession financial assistance for Turkey and amending Regulations (EEC)3906/89, (EC)1267/1999, (EC)1268/1999 and (EC)555/2000.

Chapter III: Financial Framework

- Council of the European Union, Council Regulation (EC)99/2000 of 29 December
 1999 concerning the provision of assistance to the partner States in Eastern Europe and Central Asia.
- 2 The OECD divides aid receipients into two groups (see annex 5). Group one recieves Official Development Assistance (ODA) and group two receives Official Assistance (OA).
- 3 Information provided by Roxana Tisuy, center partnership for equality in Romania, 2004.
- 4 OECD/DAC, International Development Statistics, available at: http://www.oecd.org/ dataoecd/50/17/5037721.htm
- 5 Ibid.

Chapter IV: Programing and Implementation

- Commission of the European Communities, Guidelines for implementation of the Common Framework for Country Strategy Papers, Secretariat of the IQSG, D(2001), Brussels, 4 May 2001.
- 2 European Community, CARDS Programme, Albania Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2004, 20 November 2001.

European Community, *Republic of Armenia*, *Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003*, 27 December 2001; European Community, *Armenia, Tacis National Indicative Programme*, 2004-2006, 18 September 2003;

European Community, *Azerbaijan*, *Country Strategy Paper* 2002-2006 and National *Indicative Programme* 2002-2003, 27 December 2001;

European Community, *Azerbaijan, Tacis Indicative Programme* 2004-2006, 22 May 2003;

European Community, *Belarus, Country Strategy Paper and National Indicative Programme 2005-2006*, 28 May 2004;

European Community, *Bosnia and Herzegovina, Country Strategy paper 2002-2006 and Multi-annual Indicative Programme 2002-2004;*

European Community, *CARDS Assistance Programme to the western Balkans, Regional Strategy paper 2002-2006 and Multi-annual Indicative Programme 2002-2004;*

European Community, *Central Asia, Regional Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and Indicative Programme 2002-2004, 30 October 2002;*

European Community, *Tacis Central Asia Indicative Programme 2005 – 2006*, 20 August 2004;

European Community, *Croatia Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006;*

European Community, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and Multi-annual Indicative Programme 2002-2004;

European Community, *Georgia, Country* Strategy Paper 2003-2006 and Tacis National *Indicative Programme 2004-2006*, 23 September 2003;

European Community, *Moldova, Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003, 27* December 2001;

European Community, *Russian Federation*, *Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003*, 27 December 2001;

European Community, Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and Multi-Annual Indicative Programme 2002-2004;

European Community, *Ukraine, country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003, 27* December 2001;

European Community, *Ukraine, National Indicative Programme 2004-2006,* 4 August 2003;

Chapter 5: Evaluation and Impact

- 1 European Commission, *Guidelines for the use* of Indicators in country performance assessment, DG Development, December 2002.
- 2 European Commission, *Evaluation of the Assistance to Western Balkan countries under Regulation 2666/2000 (CARDS) - 06/2004* ref. 951651;

European Commission, *Evaluation of EC Country Strategy in Turkmenistan* - 12/2000 - ref. 951587;

European Commission, *Evaluation of EC Country Strategy for Ukraine* 1996-2003 - 06/ 2003 - ref. 951643;

European Commission, *Evaluation of Tacis Country Strategy in Moldova* - 12/2000 - ref. 951574;

European Commission, *Evaluation of Tacis Country Programme in Russian Federation*-02/2000 - ref. 951500;

3 European Commission, *Evaluation of EC Country Strategy for Ukraine* 1996-2003 - 06/ 2003 - ref. 951643.

Annex 4b: Legend & Methodology

- 1 The question of the accessibility of information is another issue altogether. Most international institutions' large databases can only be accessed by paying high-cost subscriptions.
- 2 These problems include, for example, the fact that the dates for which information is available often do not coincide, and the significant differences in the figures provided by different sources for the same year.
- 3 Large databases can be consulted that refer to the original source from which the information was taken.
- 4 For this the variable was normalised (by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation) and then the mean positive values and the mean negative values for the normalised indicator were calculated. The four categories were established according to the values above and below the mean positive values for the normalised indicator, and the values above and below the mean negative values for the normalised indicator.
- 5 In the case of the table showing morbidity and mortality rates the child immunisation ranking was included as another indicator in the calculations of the average value for the area. The immunisation table is presented separately and ordered according to the average value of its indicators.

6 The possible range for the average of the area was divided into four groups as follows: group 1 (between 4 and 3.26); group 2 (between 3.25 and 2.6); group 3 (between 2.5 and 1.76); group 4 (between 1.75 and 1). ■

Agence Europe, *Daily Bulletin of Wednesday 13 October 2004.*

APRODEV & One World Action 2002: Assessing Gender Mainstreaming in EC Development Cooperation, 2002.

APRODEV, One World Action, WIDE, HelpAge International: *Transforming the mainstream, Seminar report on mainstreaming and inclusive approaches in EU development cooperation, undated.*

Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, Fourth World Conference on Women, 15 September 1995, A/ CONF.177/20 (1995) and A/CONF.177/20/Add.1 (1995).

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, UN GA, 1979, available at: http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/cedaw.htm.

Council of Europe, *Gender mainstreaming: conceptual framework, methodology and presentation of good practices*, May 1998.

Development Researchers Network, Linden Consulting Partnership, *An Evaluation of the Tacis Country Programme in the Russian Federation*, January 2000.

DRN-ADE-NCG-ECO Consortium, *Evaluation of the Assistance to Balkan Countries under CARDS Regulation 2666/2000, June 2004.*

ECOSOC, Mainstreaming the gender perspective into all policies and programmes in the United Nations system, July 1997.

Expanding Rights, creating space for action? EU reform and enlargement from gender perspective. Position paper by NRO Frauenforum and Karat Coalition. Berlin, 2003.

Investment Development Consultancy, France & Development Strategies, Italy, *Evaluation of Tacis Country Strategy: Turkmenistan 1996-2000.* Lohmann Kinga, Seibert Anita (ed.): *Gender Assessment of the impact of EU Accession on the Status of women in the Labour Market in CEE*, National Study: Poland. Warsaw 2003.

MWH-ECDPM-ODI Consortium, *Evaluation of the European Commission's Strategy for Ukraine*, June 2003.

NOMISMA and ECONOMISTI ASSOCIATI Bologna, *Evaluation of TACIS Country Strategy in Moldova*, December 2000. OECD/DAC, Analysis of aid in support of gender equality, 1999-2003, Paris, Feburary 2005.

One World Action, *Everywhere and Nowhere: Assessing Gender Mainstreaming in European Community Development Cooperation*, October 2002.

PARTICIP GmbH, CIDEAL, ECDPM, IDC, CEPIA, Thematic Evaluation of the Integration of Gender in EC Development Co-operation with Third Countries, March 2003.

Reisen, M., van, *The Millennium Development Goals, A reality check on their past, present and future*, paper, presented in Montevideo, 29 October, EEPA, Brussels, 2004.

Reisen, M., van, 2015 Watch, *The EU's Contribution to the Millennium Development goals, Special Focus: HIV/AIDS*, published by Alliance2015, 2004.

Social Watch Report, Instituto del Tercer Mundo, Montevideo, editions 2002, 2003, 2004.

UN, *United Nations Millennium Declaration*, UN General Assembly 55/2, N.Y., 8 September 2000.

UNDP, *Human Development Report 2004*, Oxford University Press, N.Y., 2003.

World Bank, *Gender in Transition*, Washington DC, May 2002.

WIDE Bulletin, *Towards EU Accession: Forward* Looking Strategies for Gender Equality, December 2003.

WIDE, Globalising Women's Rights: Confronting Unequal Development Between the UN Rights Framework and the WTO Trade Agreements, A Report of WIDE's Annual Conference 2004.

WIDE, Information Sheet, *Gender equality and EU accession: The situation in the Czech Republic*, November 2003.

WIDE, Steinhilber, S., Women's Rights and Gender Equality in the EU Enlargement – an Opportunity for Progress, October 2002.

WLDI (Women, Law and Development Initiative), Women's Economic Rights under Privatisation: Bulgaria, Poland, Russia and Ukraine, 2000.



EU Documents

Commission of the European Communities, *Guidelines* for implementation of the Common Framework for Country Strategy Papers, Secretariat of the IQSG, D(2001), Brussels, 4 May 2001.

Council of the European Union, Council Directive 75/ 117/EEC of 10 February 1975 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the application of the principle of equal pay for men and women Official Journal L 045 19.02.75 p.19, Incorporated by OJ L 001 03.01.94 p.484.

Council of the European Union, Council Directive 76/ 207/EEC of 9 February 1976 on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women as regards access to employment, vocational training and promotion, and working conditions Official Journal L 039 14.02.76 p.40, Derogation in 194N, Incorporated by OJ L 001 03.01.94 p.484.

Council of the European Union, Council Directive 79/7/ EEC of 19 December 1978 on the progressive implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women in matters of social security Official Journal L 006 10.01.79 p.24, Incorporated by OJ L 0013.01.94 p.484.

Council of the European Union, Council Directive 86/ 378/EEC of 24 July 1986 on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women in occupational social security schemes Official Journal L 225 12.08.86 p.40, Incorporated by OJ L 001 03.01.94 p.484, Amended by OJ L 046 17.02.97 p.20.

Council of the European Union, Council Directive 86/ 613/EEC of 11 December 1986 on the application of the principle of equal treatment between men and women engaged in an activity, including agriculture, in a selfemployed capacity, and on the protection of selfemployed women during pregnancy and motherhood Official Journal L 359 19.12.86 p.56, Incorporated by OJ L 001 03.01.94 p.484.

Council of the European Union, Council Directive 92/85/ EEC of 19 October 1992 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health at work of pregnant workers and workers who have recently given birth or are breastfeeding (tenth individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16 (1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) Official Journal L 348 28.11.92 p.1.

Council of the European Union, Council Directive 96/34/ EC of 3 June 1996 on the framework agreement on parental leave concluded by UNICE, CEEP and the ETUC Official Journal L 145, 19/06/1996 p. 0004 - 0009, CONSLEG - 96L0034 - 16/01/1998 - 11 p., Amended by OJ L 010 16.01.98 p.24.

Council of the European Union, Council Directive 97/80/ EC of 15 December 1997 on the burden of proof in cases of discrimination based on sex Official Journal L 014 20.01.98 p.6, Amended by OJ L 205 22.07.98 p.66. Council of the European Union, Council Directive 2002/ 73/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 September 2002 amending Council Directive 76/207/ EEC on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women as regards access to employment, vocational training and promotion, and working conditions Official Journal L 269 , 05/10/2002 P. 0015 – 0020.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 85 Czech Republic.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 86 Estonia.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 87 Hungary.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 88 Latvia.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 89 Lithuania.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 91 Poland.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 93 Slovakia.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 94 Slovenia.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2003 396 Bulgaria.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 397 Romania.

Council of the European Union, Council Decision Accession Partnership 2002 398 Turkey.

Council of the European Union, Council Regulation (EC) No 2836/98 of 22 December 1998 on *integrating of gender issues in development co-operation*.

Council of the European Union, Council Regulation (EC) 1266/99 on coordinating aid to the applicant countries in the framework of the pre-accession strategy and amending Regulation (EEC) No 3906/89.

Council of the European Union, Council Regulation (EC) 2666/2000 of 5 December 2000 on assistance for Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia and the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, repealing Regulation (EC) No 1628/96 and amending Regulations (EEC) No 3906/89 and (EEC) No 1360/90 and Decisions 97/256/EC and 1999/311/EC.

Council of the European Union, Council Regulation (EC) 99/2000 of 29 December 1999 concerning the provision of assistance to the partner States in Eastern Europe and Central Asia.

Council of the European Union, Council Regulation (EC) 2500/2001 of 17 December 2001 concerning preaccession financial assistance for Turkey and amending Regulations (EEC) No 3906/89, (EC) No 1267/1999, (EC) No 1268/1999 and (EC) No 555/2000.

Council of the European Union, *Declaration by the Council and the Commission on the European Community's development policy*, Brussels, 16 November 2000.

European Commission Communication, *Programme of Action for the Mainstreaming of Gender Equality in Community Development Cooperation*, 2001/295 (2001). European Commission Communication, *Towards a Community Framework on Gender Equality* (2001-2005), 2000/0143.

European Commission, *Evaluation of the assistance to Western Balkan countries under Regulation 2666/2000* (CARDS) - 06/2004 - ref. 951651.

European Commission, *Evaluation of EC Country* Strategy in Turkmenistan - 12/2000 - ref. 951587.

European Commission, *Evaluation of EC Country Strategy for Ukraine* 1996-2003 - 06/2003 - ref. 951643.

European Commission, *Evaluation of Tacis Country Strategy in Moldova* - 12/2000 - ref. 951574.

European Commission, *Evaluation of Tacis Country Programme in Russian Federation* - 02/2000 - ref. 951500.

European Commission, *Community Framework Strategy* on Gender Equality. (2001-2005) European Commission, *Guidelines for the use of Indicators in country performance assessment*, DG Development, December 2002.

European Community, CARDS Programme, Albania Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2004, 20 November 2001. European Community, Republic of Armenia, Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003, 27 December 2001.

European Community, Armenia, Tacis National Indicative Programme, 2004-2006, 18 September 2003.

European Community, *Azerbaijan*, *Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003*, 27 December 2001.

European Community, Azerbaijan, Tacis Indicative Programme 2004-2006, 22 May 2003.

European Community, *Belarus, Country Strategy Paper* and National Indicative Programme 2005-2006, 28 May 2004.

European Community, *Bosnia and Herzegovina, Country Strategy paper 2002-2006 and Multi-annual Indicative Programme 2002-2004.*

European Community, CARDS Assistance Programme to the western Balkans, Regional Strategy paper 2002-2006 and Multi-annual Indicative Programme 2002-2004.

European Community, *Central Asia, Regional Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and Indicative Programme 2002-2004,* 30 October 2002.

European Community, *Tacis Central Asia Indicative Programme 2005 – 2006*, 20 August 2004

European Community, *Croatia Country Strategy Paper* 2002-2006.

European Community, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and Multi-annual Indicative Programme 2002-2004

European Community, *Georgia, Country Strategy Paper* 2003-2006 and Tacis National Indicative Programme 2004-2006, 23 September 2003. European Community, *Moldova, Country Strategy Paper* 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003, 27 December 2001.

Bibliography



European Community, *Russian Federation, Country* Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003, 27 December 2001.

European Community, Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, Country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and Multi-Annual Indicative Programme 2002-2004.

European Community, Ukraine, country Strategy Paper 2002-2006 and National Indicative Programme 2002-2003, 27 December 2001.

European Community, *Ukraine, National Indicative Programme 2004-2006,* 4 August 2003.

European Union, *Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe*, at: http://europa.eu.int/constitution/index_en.htm European Union, *Consolidated version of the Treaty of the European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community*, Official Journal of the European Communities, 2002.

General Budget of the European Union for the Financial Year 2003, at: http://www.europa.eu.int/eur-lex/budget/data/D2003_v4/

EN/index.htm.

Main Web Sites consulted:

http://europa.eu.int

http://europa.eu.int/comm/enlargement/index_en.html http://europa.eu.int/comm/external_relations/index.htm http://europa.eu.int/comm/development/index_en.htm http://www.un.org

http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/50/17/5037721.htm

Background: the European Union

The origins of the European Union (EU) lie in the European Economic Community (EEC), which was established by the Treaty of Rome in 1957, and which came into effect the following year. Initially, the EEC consisted of just six countries: Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg and the Netherlands. However, since its creation, it has undergone five rounds of enlargement. In 1973, Denmark, Ireland and the United Kingdom joined. They were followed by Greece in 1981, Spain and Portugal in 1986, and Austria, Finland and Sweden in 1995. The biggest enlargement to date took place on 1 May 2004 when no less than ten countries joined the EU. The accession of Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia has increased the EU's population to more than 450 million.

The European Union (EU) is an economic and political union of twenty-five democratic European countries. It was established in 1993 when the then twelve members of the European Community (EC) ratified the Treaty on European Union, (commonly known as the Maastricht Treaty). The EU is unique as an international organisation in that although it is officially a union, it is not a federation of states like the United States of America. Nor is it an organisation for inter-governmental co-operation. Instead, its member states delegate some of their decision making powers on specific matters to a set of five shared institutions.

The European Parliament represents the citizens of the EU. Originally, the Members of the European Parliament (MEPs) were nominated by their respective national governments but since 1979 they have been elected by direct universal suffrage every five years. The present parliament was elected in June 2004. Like all parliaments, the European Parliament has three fundamental powers: legislative power, budgetary power and supervisory power.

The Council of the European Union, (commonly known as the Council), is the EU's main decisionmaking body. It represents the governments of the Member States, and its meetings are attended by ministers from each of those governments. Although it is described as a single institution, there are actually nine different Council configurations organised according to policy areas. Each minister in the Council is empowered to commit his or her government. The Presidency of the Council rotates every six months, thus enabling the government of each Member State to take charge of the Council agenda and to chair all of its meetings.

The European Commission represents and upholds the interests of the EU as a whole. It is the driving force within the EU's institutional system and it has four main roles: to propose legislation to the Parliament and the Council, to manage and implement EU policies and the budget, to enforce EC law, and to represent the EU on the international stage. It is appointed every five years within six months of elections to the European Parliament. The current Commission took office on 22 November 2004

The European Court of Justice ensures that EC law is interpreted and applied in the same way in each Member State. It is empowered to settle legal disputes between Member States, EU institutions, businesses and individuals. The Court is composed of one judge per Member State. They are appointed by joint agreement of the governments of the Member States

The fifth EU institution is the European Court of Auditors. Its role is to check that all the Union's revenue has been received and all its expenditure incurred in a lawful and regular manner and that the EU budget has been properly managed. The Court of Auditors is composed of one member from each Member State. Its members are appointed by the Council.

The European Union: definition

The European Union was established by the "Treaty establishing the European Union", also called the Maastricht Treaty (1993). The Treaty established the European Union as a political entity of the then 12 Member States, with three pillars of competence: European Community policies, where the European Community has exclusive competence (in areas such

Annex 1



as agriculture and trade); Justice and Human Affairs (an area of intergovernmental co-operation) and The Common Foreign Security and Defence Policy (CFSDP, another area of intergovernmental cooperation).

The European Community: definition

The European Community is the part of the European Union where the Commission can act on behalf of the Member States, and where it has competence to deal with the policy areas defined in the Treaty. Development Co-operation is an area of European Community competence. The European Community shares this competence with the Member States, who also have retained an independent competence for this policy area. Enlargement is another area of competence of the European Community, although the final accession of new members is decided at intergovernmental level by the Member States.

Annex 2: The Millennium Development Goals and the Beijing Platform for Action Critical Areas of Concern								
MDGs	BPFA Critical Areas of Concern							
Goal 1: Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	persistent and increasing burden of poverty on women							
	Inequalitities in economic opportunitites and access to resources							
	Lack of respect for the human rights of women							
Goal 2: Achieve universal primary education	Unequal access to education and training							
	Lack of respect for the human rights of women							
	Dicrimination against and violations of the rights of girls							
Goal 3: Promote gender equality and empower women	Violence against women							
	Unequal access to education and training							
	Inequalities in the sharing of power and decision making							
	Weak government and non-government mechanisms for the advancement of women							
	Lack of respect for the human rights of women							
	Discrimination against and violations of the rights of girls							
Goal 4, 5 & 6: Reduce child mortality and improve maternal health	Unequal access to health care and related services							
	Violence against women							
	Effects of armed conflict on women							
	Lack of respect for the human rights of women							
	Discrimination against and violations of the rights of girls							
Goal 7: Ensure envrionmental sustainability	Gender inequalities in the management of the environment							
	Lack of respect for the human rights of women							
Goal 8: Develop a global partnership for development	persistent and increasing burden of poverty on women							
	Inequalitities in economic opportunitites and access to resources							
	Lack of respect for the human rights of women							
	Stereotyping of women and inequality in access to the media							

Effective 8 September 2003

Goals and Targets (from the Millennium Declaration)	Indicators for monitoring progress
Goal 1: Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	1. NETWORK AND AND THE TWO COULD ST
Target 1: Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people whose ncome is less than less than one dollar a day	Proportion of population below \$1 (PPP) per day Poverty gap ratio [incidence x depth of poverty] Share of poorest quintile in national consumption
Target 2: Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger	 Prevalence of underweight children under-five years of age Proportion of population below minimum level of dietary energy consumption
Goal 2: Achieve universal primary education	 Server Main.
Target 3: Ensure that, by 2015, children everywhere, boys and girls alike, will be able to complete a full course of primary schooling	 Net enrolment ratio in primary education Proportion of pupils starting grade 1 who reach grade 5^e Literacy rate of 15-24 year-bids
Goal 3: Promote gender equality and empower women	
Target 4: Eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education, preferably by 2005, and in all levels of education no later than 2015	 Ratios of girls to boys in primary, secondary and tertiary education Ratio of literate women to men, 15-24 years old Share of women in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector Proportion of seats held by women in national parliament
Goal 4: Reduce child mortality	In the exchanged lock is second by hit remember in the ferror of the
Target 5: Reduce by two-thirds, between 1990 and 2015, the under-five mortality rate	13. Under-five mortality rate 14. Infant mortality rate 15. Proportion of 1 year-old children immunised against measles
Goal 5: Improve maternal health	
Target 6: Reduce by three-quarters, between 1990 and 2015, the maternal mortality ratio	16. Maternal mortality ratio 17. Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel
Goal 6: Combat HIVIAIDS, malaria and other diseases	
Target 7: Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the spread of HIV/AIDS	 HIV prevalence among pregnant women aged 15-24 years Condom use rate of the contraceptive prevalence rate " 19a. Condom use at last high-risk sex 19b. Percentage of population aged 15-24 years with comprehensive correct knowledge of HIV/AIDS! 19c. Contraceptive prevalence rate Ratio of school attendance of orphans to school attendance of non- orphans aged 10-14 years
Target 8: Have hated by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria and other major diseases	 Prevalence and death rates associated with mataria Proportion of population in mataria-risk areas using effective mataria prevention and treatment measures* Prevalence and death rates associated with tuberculosis Proportion of tuberculosis cases detected and cured under directly observed treatment short course DOTS (Internationally recommended TB control strategy)
Goal 7: Ensure environmental sustainability	
Target 9: Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programmes and reverse the loss of environmental resources.	 Proportion of land area covered by forest Ratio of area protected to maintain biological diversity to surface area Energy use (kg oil equivalent) per \$1 GDP (PPP) Carbon dioxide emissions per capita and consumption of ozone- depleting CFCs (ODP tons) Proportion of population using solid fuels.
Target 10: Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation	 Proportion of population with sustainable access to an improved water source, urban and rural Proportion of population with access to improved sanitation, urban and rural
Target 11: By 2020, to have achieved a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers.	 Proportion of households with access to secure tenure

Goal 8: Develop a global partnership for development	
Target 12: Develop further an open, rule-based, predictable, non- discriminatory trading and financial system Includes a commitment to good governance, development and poverty reduction – both nationally and internationally	Some of the indicators listed below are monitored separately for the least developed countries (LDCs), Africa, landlocked developing countries and small island developing States. Official development assistance (ODA)
Target 13: Address the special needs of the least developed countries includes: tariff and quota free access for the least developed countries exports: enhanced programme of debt relief for heavily indebted poor countries (HIPC) and cancellation of official bilateral debt, and more generous ODA for countries committed to poverty reduction Target 14: Address the special needs of landlocked developing countries and small island developing States (through the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States and the outcome of the twenty-second special session of the General Assembly) Target 15: Deal comprehensively with the debt problems of developing countries through national and international measures in order to make debt sustainable in the long term	 Net ODA, total and to the least developed countries, as percentage of OECD/DAC donors' gross national income Proportion of total bilateral, sector-allocable ODA of OECD/DAC donors to basic social services (basic education, primary health care, nutrition, safe water and sanitation) Proportion of bilateral official development assistance of OECD/DAC donors that is untied ODA received in landlocked developing countries as a proportion of their gross national incomes ODA received in small island developing States as a proportion of their gross national incomes ODA received in small island developing States as a proportion of their gross national incomes Market access Proportion of total developed country imports (by value and excluding gross interview of total developed country imports (by value and excluding gross interview of total developed country imports (by value and excluding gross interview of total developed country imports (by value and excluding gross interview of total developed country imports (by value and excluding gross interview of total developed country imports (by value and excluding gross interview of total developed country imports (by value and excluding gross interview of total developed country imports (by value and excluding gross interview).
Target 16: In opoperation with developing countries, develop and implement strategies for decent and productive work for youth	
Target 17: In cooperation with pharmaceutical companies, provide access to affordable essential drugs in developing countries.	 Proportion of population with access to affordable essential drugs on a sustainable basis
Target 18. In cooperation with the private sector, make available the benefits of new technologies, especially information and communications	 Telephone lines and cellular subscribers per 100 population Personal computers in use per 100 population Internet users per 100 population

The Millennium Development Goals and targets come from the Millennium Declaration, signed by 189 countries, including 147 heads of State and Government, in September 2000 (http://www.un.org/millennium/declaration/ares552e.htm). The poals and targets are interrelated and should be seen as a whole. They represent a partnership between the developed countries and the developing countries 'to create an environment – at the national and global levels alike - which is conducive to development and the elimination of poverty".

Note: Goals, targets and indicators effective 8 September 2003.

* For monitoring country poverty trends, indicators based on rational poverty trent should be used, where available

* An alternative indicator under devolopment is "primary completion rate".

Amongst contraceptive methods, only condums are effective in preventing HV transmission. Since the condom use rate is only measured among econes in union, it is sugglemented by an indicator on condom use in high-risk situations (indicator 194) and an indicator on HV/WDS knowledge (indicator 196). Indicator 196: (contraceptive prevalence rate) is also useful in tracking progress in other health, gender and poverty goals.

This indicator is defined as the percentage of population aget 15.24 who correctly identify the two major ways of preventing the securit transmission of HV (using sondorms and limiting sex to one faithful, uninfected pather), who reject the two most common local misconceptions about HV transmission, and who know that a limiting sex to one faithful, uninfected pather), who reject the two most common local misconceptions about HV transmission, and who know that a limiting sex to one faithful, uninfected pather). healthy-looking person can transmit HW. However, since there are currently not a sufficient number of surveys to be able to calculate the indicator as defined above. UNICEF, In collaboration with UNAGO and WHO, produced hao prove indicators that represent two components of the actual indicator. They are the following all percentage of women and men 15-24 who know that a percentage or potect herselfherself from HO intection by "consistent use of condom", tij percentage of women and men 15-24 who know a healthy looking percentage of children under 5 steeping under insecticide-treated bedriets, insettent to be measured by the percentage of children under Prevention to be measured by the percentage of children under 5 steeping under insecticide-treated bedriets. Insettent to be measured by percentage of children under

5 who are appropriately breated.

An improved measure of the target for future years is under development by the International Labour Organization.



Sources: UNESCO Website Database, October 2004 (www.unesco.org); World Development Indicators 2004, World Bank and World Education Report, 1998 (UNESCO)

HUMAN RIGHTS

The right to non discrimination on the base of sex is enshrined in: UDHR - Art. 2 & 26 CESCR - Art. 3 & 7 CEDAW - Art. 7, 10 & 11 CRC - Art. 29

INTERNATIONAL COMMITMENTS

Gender equity is considered in: Millennium Development Goals – Goal 3 World Summit for Social Development Fourth World Conference on Women - Beijing Platform for Action - Critical Areas of Concern

LEGEND

Progress or I	Regression:
>>>>	Significant progress
>>	Slight progress
=	Stagnant
<<	Slight regression
<<<<	Significant regression

Current situation and Current situation related to world context (for each indicator):

- 4 Countries in progress3 Countries above average
- 2 Countries below average
- 1 Countries in regression

UDHR: Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948. **CERD:** International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, 1965.

CESCR: International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1966.

CEDAW: Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, 1979.

CRC: Convention on the Rights of the Child, 1989.

Methodology and data management

The use of electronic media has made it considerably easier to access available data and other information,¹ but many of the deficiencies that Social Watch has signalled in previous years continue to make it difficult to carry out comparative analysis on the evolution of the indicators.² The first choice continues to be the most recent source provided by any of the international institutions that are generally recognised as providing reliable data, even if some changes appear surprising and could be interpreted in different ways, or be seen to result from a variety of causes.

In those cases in which the most recent data were not available from these institutions, the choice made from among the alternatives on offer is a "secondary" source whose data for previous years most closely and consistently matched the data published by the acknowledged authority on the subject.

If several alternative sources are available, the source chosen is that which is best-known and regarded as being (or basing its information on)³ the best authority on the topic in question. If none of the above criteria could be applied, the source chosen is that offering data from the largest number of countries. In cases in which the data was related to a period (for instance, 1995-1997) rather than to a single year, the data is assigned to the year falling in the middle of the period (which in the above example would be 1996) in order to allow for the calculation of the rate of variation.

Measuring countries' present situation and the rate of change

In each of the thematic areas the information is displayed in relation to the chosen indicators. In general, each indicator covers a number of columns: the first and second columns show the country's initial situation (data from 1995 or the closest possible year), the third and fourth columns show the latest available data, the fifth column shows progress or regression, and the sixth column shows the current situation related to the world context.

In order to assess the evolution of each indicator, two aspects have been taken into account: the initial and final levels, and the rate of change of progress or regression. The **situation** of a country according to each indicator is given by the last available value for that indicator.

Each country is assigned a value from 1 to 4 (1 indicates the worst case and 4 indicates best case) according to the distribution of values for each indicator⁴. The value for all of the indicators for that area is then given by the average of the values for each country.⁵ In this way, a self-referential ranking is obtained, independent of the distance from the goals or from specific conceptually defined levels.

This ranking was only applied to those countries with information for at least half the indicators that make up the overall thematic area. To avoid giving a false impression of accuracy, the average values were rescaled⁶ to create four country categories:

- Countries in progress
- Countries above average
- Countries below average
- Countries in regression

A fifth group is also presented showing information for those countries which lack sufficient data to be included in the ranking (*Countries with insufficient data to summarise the area*).

Within each group the countries are listed in alphabetical order.

The **rate of change** for each country is obtained by considering the variation in the values of the indicator over the time period within which the measurements are made. The quotient between the variation in the indicator and the time period reflects the rate of change for the item in question.

The values for this rate of change have also been rescaled in sections (using a reference scale from 1 to 5), which are presented in the tables in the column entitled "Progress or regression". A series of symbols is used to illustrate the changes in order to make the information easier to read and to avoid the false impression of accuracy given by a numerical value. The categories defined in this rescaling are as follows:

>>>>	Significant progress
>>	Slight progress
=	Stagnant
<<	Slight regression
<<<<	Significant regression

"Significant progress" applies to those countries which are progressing at rates above the average for all countries making progress.

"Slight progress" applies to those countries which are progressing at rates below the average for all countries making progress.

"Stagnant" refers to those countries where no changes (or quantitatively insignificant changes) have been recorded over the period in question.

"Slight regression" applies to those countries which are regressing at rates below the average for all countries regressing (i.e. they are regressing more slowly).

"Significant regression" applies to those countries which are regressing at rates above the average for all countries regressing (i.e. they are regressing more rapidly).

Gender Ranking

Gender equity is a complex concept involving multiple dimensions of both a quantitative and qualitative nature, for many of which there are no data records available. The last Social Watch Report (2004) includes a ranking of those countries for which data is available in terms of the different dimensions selected as indicators in the thematic area relating to gender equity. The dimensions chosen are: education, economic activity and participation in political and economic decision-making ("empowerment"). The ranking was constructed by combining the internal ranking for each of the above-mentioned dimensions in a single final index of countries. The challenge faced was how to unify the different dimensions along which gender



equity has been measured, in order to obtain a more comprehensive ranking than that provided for each dimension separately or in traditional indexes.

The final index measuring gender equity constructed by Social Watch for the 2004 report, takes into account the three dimensions of education, economic activity and empowerment, sorting countries into groups on the basis of the average values of their indicators.

To construct the table ranking countries according to their performance in the dimensions relating to gender equity, use is made of the same method that Social Watch uses in other areas. That is, the values shown relate to the average of each country's performance in the different dimensions of analysis, which in this case are: education, economic activity and empowerment. The unified index is calculated by combining each country's values for the component dimensions in an unweighted average.

Each country is classified in one of four categories according to the distribution of each indicator. The average for the area is calculated on the basis of the average of the values resulting from that classification. This first scaling exercise eliminates the gaps between values and standardises their distribution. The general ranking therefore provides no more than a basic indexing criterion referring to countries' relative positions and not to the indicators' conceptual levels. When countries share the same relative position, they are listed in alphabetical order.

There follows an updating of the Gender Ranking and its calculation as of November 2004 for the countries considered in this report. ■

GENDER GAP IN ECONOMIC ACTIVITY AND EARNED INCOME

"States Parties shall take in all fields, in particular in the political, social, economic and cultural fields, all appropriate measures, including legislation, to ensure the full development and advancement of women, for the purpose of guaranteeing them the exercise and enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms on a basis of equality with mem Convention on the Elemination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, Article 3, 1979

"We are convinced that __women's empowerment and their full participation on the basis of equality in all spheres of society, including participation in the decision-making process and access to power, are fundamental for the activevement of equality, development and peace" Beijing Platform for Action, Paragraph 13, 1995.

		_							
			Ratio o	featimated te	male to male earned i	ncome			
Country		Region	Year	Data	Current Situation	Current Situatio related to world context			
	2	Cour	tiries in progress						
Belarus	BLR	CIB	1991/2001	0.65	3	4			
Bulgaria	BGR	CEE	1991/2001	0.66	4	4			
Denmark	DNK	UE15	1991(2001	0,72	4	4			
Estonia	EST	CEE	1991/2001	0.03	3	4			
Finland	First .	UE15	1001/2001	0,70		4			
Latvia	LVA	CEE	1991/2001	0.69	4	+			
.ithuania	LTU	CEE	1991/2001	78.0					
Maldovia	MOA	C15	1991/2001	88.0	1	4.			
Nonway	NOR	europe	1991/2001	0,74		4			
Silovakia	SVK.	OFF	1991/2001	0.65	1	4			
Dweden	SWE	UEth	1991/2001	0,83	4	4			
Tajikistan	TJK	CIS	1991/2001	0.62	3	3			
Linited Kingdom	GBR	法拒任	1991/2001	0.80	3	- 3-			
	17 and the	Count	nes above average		- Al-	6			
Armenia	ARM	CIS	1991/2001	0.69		4.			
Fishce	FRA	UE15	1991/2001	0.59	3	3			
Hungaly	HUN	CEE	1991/2001	0.59	3	3			
Kazakhistan	KAZ	CIS	1991/2001	0.59	3	3			
Poland	POL	CEE	1991/2001	0.61	3	3			
Russian Federation	RUS	Cits	1991/2001	0.64	3	4			
Slovenia	SVN	CEE	1991/2001	0.62	3	3.			
Turkmenistan	THOM	CIS	1991/2001	0.63	1	4			
Ukraine .	LIKR	CIB	1991/2001	0.52	2	1			
Uzbekistan	UZB	CIB	1991/2001	0.66	4	4			
		Count	ries below average						
Anerbaijan	AZE	CIS	1991/2001	0.57	2	2			
Beigium	BEL	UE15	1991/2001	0.50	2	2			
Croatia	HRV	CEE	1991/2001	0.56	2	3			
Czech Republic	CZE	CEE	1991/2001	0.56	2				
Georgia	GEO	CIS	1991/2001	0.40	1	2			
Germany	DEU	UE15	1991/2001	0.52	2	2			
Weind	010.	CIE 15	1991/2001	0.40	- 1 ·	- 2			
Kynyyzetán	KGZ	CIB	1991/2001	0.65	3				
Macedonia, FYR	MKD	CEE	1991/2001	0.55	2	3			
Nétherlands.	NLD .	UE15	1991/2001	0.53	3	3			
Portugal	PRT	LIETS	1001/2001	0.54	2	3			
Romania	ROM	CEE	1991/2001	0.56	1	1			
Switzerland	CHE	europe	1991(2001	0.50	2	2			
Turkey	TUR	CEE	1991/2001	0.60	- î				
(eng)	C.C.	the second se	stries in regression						
(Bashing	Laure .		1991/2001	2.64					
Albenia	ALE	CEE		0.56					
Austria	CYP	UE15 UE25	1991/2001	0.30		1			
Cyprue Sreece	GRC		1991/2001			2			
or and the second	an a star i s	UE15	1591/2001	0,43		2			
taly	ITA	GE15	1991/2001	0,45	-	2			
usembourg	LUX	UE15	1991/2001	0.34		1			
Mata	MLT	UE25	1991/2001	0.27	1	1 N			
Spain	ESP	UE16	1991/2001	0.44	1 1	2			
		Countries with insuff	icient data to summ	arise the area					
Bosnia and Herzegovina	81H	CEE							
Serbia and Montenegro	SAM	GEE			1	3			



"Steels Parties anal take in suffure fields, all appropriate evolutions and advancem sectors and argument of to with men". Convention on th Actors 3: 1978	o measures, av sent of women, suman rights ar	Hoular in the post cluding legislation for the purpose o al fundamental th	ical, social, acco , lo ansure the / f guaranteeing t exclume on a Da	some and laf hern the usis of equality	We are con on the basis the decision achievements	of equality in a making proces t of equality, de	Distribution of the second sec	ty, including p sweet, are fund ace?	varticipation in		
		Worksin wage amployment in non-agricultural aerotor as percambage of total non-agric. Employees									
Country		1.00023	Dets (%)	Stef:	Dete (%)	Progress or regression	Progress or regression related to workil context	Current Dituation	Current Siluation related to wor context		
				countries in	program						
kelarus	BLR	1905	56,20	2002	35.91		61	- 4	4		
Năgirla	BGR	1905	80,01	2002	31,31			4			
Derotsark.	DNK:	1998	48,50	3005	40.00	4000	44		4		
Eatlonia	EST	1995	50.80	3002	51,50	308	>>	- 4	- 4		
Finland	FIN	1998	31,10	2002	30.70	144	44		4		
atvie	LVA	1998	03,30	3002	\$3,40				4		
Reserve .	LTU MDA	1995	55.00	2002	50.31	eccc	eece.		1 1		
Anldouis Konkay	NOR	1995	52.81	2002	46.90	35	23		1		
sonikay Sovakia	SVK	1995	49,10	2002	82.00	3030	3000	4	1 4		
Sweden	SWE	1965	81.30	2002	50.00	44	64		1		
Tajikistan	T.R.	1905	64.00	2002	50.61	3333	3335				
Jinited Kingdom	OBR	1995	#0.28	2002	50.41	30	22		1 4		
onneodoria	- Louis	- Arter and a second	and the second se	untries abo		1	1				
lutreislá	ARM	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		1994	45.50		1 1	7	1 3		
Tance	FRA	1905	45.70	2002	47.01	338	30		4		
tungary.	HEIN	1995	45.90	3002	+6.70	300	30	3			
Cazaéhatan	KAZ	1995	53.81	- 2002	48.10	1000	0068	1	4		
Potand	POL	1995	47.30	2002	47.50	30	39	3			
Russiari Féderatori	0.08	1905	49,70	2002	40.01				4		
Slovenia	SVN	1995	48,80	2002	47,90			- 31	4		
furkerentation	TKM										
Jazane	LIKIR	1995	50,70	3003	\$3.21	393-9	39	4	- 4		
1/beforten	UZB	1995	43.60	1001	41,81		61	1	1 1		
10000	The second	1000		untrives beix	the second second	1	1		1		
Apertuejan -	AZE	1905	42,71	2002	48,40	9999	5395	- 1			
Belgium	BEL	1995	42.00	1002	45.21	3399	5595	-2	3		
Croatta	GZE	1905	47.70	3002	45.70	2000	200	- 2	- 3		
Czech Riepublic Seolgie	GED	1995	45.11	2002	46.50	38	22				
Sermany	DEU	1995	43,00	2002	40.90	8989	200	2	1		
miand	RL	1995	44.40	2002	47.60	\$333	2228	3	4		
Cyrigy znitlari	KGZ	1995	46.01	2002	45.41	44	44	2	3		
Aacedonia. FYTH	MKD	1005	38.90	2002	41.81	2022	1000	2	1		
istheriands.	NLD	1995	41.70	2002	48.00	8933	3335	2	1		
Portugal'	PRT	1905	45.00	2002	40.00	30	59	- 3	4		
Romania	RCM	1995	42.00	2002	45.20	89999	2002	2	3		
Switzenland .	CHE	1595	44,20	2002	47.20	33355	6444	3			
luhey	TUIE	1905	18,70	2002	30.00	8000	1000	11	1		
				Countres in r	egression :						
Utarius	ALB	1995	+0.01	1002	40.21	30	30	- 37	1 3		
NUTER	AUT.	1995	42	1002	44.1	30	>>	72	3		
Typrus	CYP	1965	39.1	2002	42.61	9999	535.0	2	3		
Hence	GRC	1990	37.6	1001	40,5	3030	\$339	1	3		
taty	ITA	1995	37	2002	43.9	\$959	2009		3		
unambourg	LUX	1995	30.01	2002	17.51	28	22		2		
Asta	MLT	1995	28.7	2002	33.8	\$933.	1000		2		
loain	ESP	1905	36.1	2002	30.9	8999	1000	11	.1		
	-	and the second se	introes with in	and the second se							
tosnia and Hercegovina	HD+	1990	43.40		1						
ierbia and Montenegm	SAM	1990	46.45								

EDUCATION

"Stees Parties shall take in all fields, in particular in the political social economic and cultural fields, all appropriate measures, including togistation, to ensure the full development and advancement of women, for the purpose of guaranteeing free the exercise and anyomest of tumon rights and fundamental freedoms on a basis of equality with ment". Convention on the Elemination of All Forms of Docommation against Women, Article 3, 1979

"We are convinced that ... women's empowerment and their full participation on the basis of equality in all spheres of society, including participation in

		Literacy Ratio Gap (womenimen)							
Country	Region	Year	Date	Year	Deta	Progress of regression	Progress or regression misted to world context	Current Situation	Current situation related to world context
A Long to	2000 - TA	110225-110		Countries	H programs				
Abana	CEE	1996	3.96	2006	6.98			1	1
Austria	DI 15			and the second s					1
Belgium	UE15				1				1
Syptem	L4528	1992	1.00	5000	-1,00			- +	4
Canon Republic:	CEE								
Gernary	UE18					1			1
Dennark	UE18								
Spain	UE15	199E	1.00	2005	1,00	×			4
Estonia	CEE	1995	1.00	2008	1,00		•		4
Finland	UE15					-	-		
Fiance	UE18								-
United Kingdom	UE15			15					
Georgia	CIS		1.10410	1.0000	9434	12 10 10			
Greece	UE15	1995	1.00	2008	1.00		•	141	
Croatia	CEE	1995	1.00	3005	1.00			4	4
Hungary	CEE	1996	1.00	2006	1,00				
inviand	UE15			1.00.000000	112111				1
taty	UEIS	1000	1,00	2000	1,00				4
Lithuinin	CEE	1005	1.00	2005	7.00		•	*	4
Luxembourg	UE15								
Lativa	CEE	1998	1.90	2005	9,00	•		*	4
Motdova	¢#\$	1006	1.00	2008	9,00				
Macedonia, FYR	CEE					-			i i
Matta	UE28	1998	1.03	2008	1.02				
Notherlands	UE15								
Norway	europe .		1.725811		11.500	S	1		- 10 T
Poland	230	1995	1.00	2005	1.00				
Portugal	UE15	1995	1.00	3005	1.00			- 4	4
Romania	CEE	1006	1.00	2005	1.00				
Russian Federation	CIS	1996	1.00	2006	1.00			+	4
Sertis and Monteningro	CEE								
Slovakia	CEE								
Sloversa	CEE	1995	1,00	2006	1,00				4
Sweden:	UE15					-			
Ukraine	CIS	1995	1.90	2005	9,00			*	4 1
				Courtiries ab	des seutage				13
Armentia	103	1000	1.00	2008	1.00			- 2	1 4
Selana	CIS	1995	9.00	2005	1.00				
Kazyktetan	Cis	1995	1.00	2005	1.00			-	4
essatemini e	1		1.077	Countries be		40	<u> </u>		10
Azerbeian	Icis I					1	I I		T
Bulgerte	CEE	1995	1.00	2005	1.00			1	
Switzerland	Hurope	10000	1.000	1000	1000				
Kyigyzaten	Cill								
- Filena	1.000	_	_	Countries in	an and a state of the state of			_	
Talastas	Ires 1	1004	2.00	2006	and the second second second	1 .	1		1 2
Tajilistan Turkey	CIS	1995	1,00	2005	1,00			4	1 1
	line 1	11/1/2010	the second s	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	a summaria di da lanconomica		L . I		
	1	11	Courifies at	the demonstrationers of	CALS IN SAFET	tartas me area	1.1		-
Bosnia and Herzegovina	CEE					-			-
Turkmenistan	¢15					-			-
Lizbeklulan.	CIS .	1955	1.00	2005	1.00		•	3	



EDUCATION

"States Parties shall take in all failts, in particular in the political, accest economic and cultural fields, all appropriate measures, including legislation, to ensure the full development and advacement of exempts, for the purpose of goaranteeing them the exempte and advacement of exempts and functionential fluedoms on a fails of equality with men". Convention on the Elementation of All Points of Decompanies of equality, development and peace" equals Women, Acticle 3, 1979

We are convinced that ... women's empowerment and their full participation on the basis of equality in all spheres of society, including participation in

			Net Primary Enrolment Ratio Gap (womenimen)							
Country	Region	ñe.	Dete	Ter	Ovta	Progress or regression	Programs or regramminn related to world context	Current Situation	Current altruation related to work context	
				Coontries I	i prograss					
Abaria	CEE	1996	1.03	2001	1.00		•		4	
Austria	UE15	1995	1,00	2001	1.01			- 4	4	
Belgium.	UE15	1995		2001	1.00		• <u>•</u>	.4	4	
Capital	U625 E	1995	1	2001	1.00			- 4	4	
Casch Hepublic	CEE	1995	1	2002	1.00	*		- 4	4	
Germany	UE15	1995	1.72	2002	1.02		•	.4	4	
Cenmaria	UE15	1995	1	2000	1,00				*	
Epain	UE15	1995	1	2002	0.99			1		
Estona	clif	1996	0.96	2001	0.08				3	
Finland	UE15	1995	1.01	2001	1.00			4		
France	UE15	1985	1	2001	1,00	•				
United Kingdoni	IUE15	1995	1.01	2001	1.00	•	•	- 4	4	
Georgia	CtS	1995	1,01	2001	1.00			- 4		
Greece	DELS	1995	18	2001	1.01	•			4	
Croatia	CEE .	1994	0.99	2002	0.0%			2	3	
nungary	CEE	1995	0.00	2002	0.00			- 2	1 2	
iveland	UE15	1995	1,02	2001	1,01	*	*	4	4	
1afy	UE18	1998	0.09	3001	1,00		*	- 4		
Uthuania	CEE	1997	0.99	2001	0.99			- 72	3	
Lunendourg	UE15	1998	1,03	2001	1.00	*		4	4	
Lahvia	CEE	1995	0.96	2001	0.09	*			3	
Mc66ova	CIS	2000	1	2002	0.08	•		2	10	
Macedonia, FYR Matts	CEE	1996	96,0	3901	1,00	*	*	- 14	-	
and the second se	UE25	1995	0.99	2001	1,00				1 1	
Netherlands	LUE18	1995	0.99	2001	0.99			2	3	
Norway	BUTODE	1995		and the second s	1,00				1	
Poland	CEE	1996	1	2002	1,00.					
Portugal	UE15	1995	0.96	2001	0.98			3		
Romania Russian Federation	CIS	1995	1	- 4501	Li gen	-	<u> </u>			
a subsymptry in the Auril of Standard Street Stre		19903		2004	1.00				4	
Sertua and Montenegro Slovakia	CEE	2000	1.01	3001	1,02			-1	1 2	
Siovenia	CEE	1995	1.01	2001	0.99					
Sweden	UE15	1995	1	2001	1.00		1 . 1		1 1	
Ukraine	C15	1998	0.99	2002	0.99			2	1 1	
	loid 1	10000	- core	Countries ab			1 - T			
11211010	Lose I	-	1 1.02	the second s	Manufacture of the local division of the loc		I - 22 - I		1	
Avimenta Detanus	C18	2000	1.02	2002	0.99				2	
Call and the second		the second se	0.00	2001	0.08					
Kazakhelan	icis I	2000	0.99	Countries be	and the state of the			- 2	1 3	
Contract Contra	los I	1908	1 1 122	the second se	0.95	44	1 44 1		1	
Azerbaijan	CEE	1996	1.02	2002	0.98	-			3	
Europania Europania	and the second se	1995	0.97	2001	1.00				3	
Switzerland	CIS	1005	0.00	2001	0.08	1 :				
Xytgymtan	122 1			Countries in						
Tajikistan	ICI8	1996	0.94	2002	0.05		T * T	1	1 22	
Turkey	CEE	1994	0.96	2002.00	0.05				2	
i ang	fore 1	TAN .		itti imuficient e		distant in some	1			
A CONTRACTOR OF	Lern I		Contraction of the local division of the loc	in an and a set of	and to any the	the set of sets	Y		-	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	CEE	-		-	-					
Turkmenleten	CH				-	-	-		-	

EDUCATION

States Parties shall take in all fields, in particular is the political, social, economic and outbrail fields, all appropriate measures, including legislation, to ensure the full eventue and ergoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms on a basis of equality with meet". Conversion on the Elimination of At Forms of Discrimination against Women, Article 3, 1979.

"We are convinced that ... women's empowerment and their full participation on the basis of equality in all spheres of society, including participation in Investigativest and advercement of women, for the purpose of guaranteeing them the Ithe decision-making process and access to power, are fundamental for the achievement of equality, development and peace" Beijing Platform for Action, Paragraph 13, 1995.

		Net Primary Enrolment Ratio Gap (women/men)								
Country	Region	Teer	Deta		Data	Progress or repression	Progress or regression seleted to world context	Current Situation	Current situation related to work context	
			_	Countries.	is progress ;					
Albania	CEE	1985	1.03	2001	1:00	· · · ·				
Austia	UE15	1995	1.83	2001	1,01	•			4	
Belgium	UE15	1990		2001	1,00	•	1 K			
Cyprus	UE25	1985		2001	1.00		- ×		•	
Czech RiquéM;	CEE	1995	1	2002	1.00			4		
Germany	UE15	1995	1.02	2002	1,407	*		4	4	
Denmark	UE18	1995		2000	1.00				4	
Span	UE15	1995		2002	-0.99			2		
Estonia	CEE	1995	0.98	2001	0.99		· · · ·			
Finland	UE15	1995	1.01	2001	1,00				.4.	
France	UE15	1995	1	2001	1.00	•				
United Kingdom	UE15	1995	1,01	2001	1.00			4	4	
Georgia	CIS .	1996	1,01	2002	1,00	•				
Greece	UE15	1985		2001	1.01	•		4		
Croatia	CEE	1994	0.99	2002	0.09			1	3	
Hungery:	CEE	1995	0.00	2002	0.08			1	3	
ireland	UE15	1985	1:02	2001	1,01			- 340	4	
Italy	UE15	1905	0.99	2001	1,00	•				
Lithuaria	CEE	1907	0.99	2001	0,98	1 A.		1	3	
Luvenbourg	UE18	1998	1,03	2001	1,00		•		4	
Latvia	CEE	1995	0.96	2001	0.99			2	3	
Moldova	CIS.	2000	1	2002	0.09	•		1	3	
Macedonia, FYR	CEE.	1996	0,98	2001	1.09			- 4	4	
Mate	UE25	1995	0.99	2001	1.00				4	
Netherlands	UE15	1985	0.00	2001	0.08	8.		1	3	
Noneay	europe	1995	1.00	2001	1.00				4	
Poland	CEE	1996		2002	1,00	8.		4	•	
Portugal	UEIS	1995							-	
Romana	CEE	1965	0.99	2001	0.69	•		-2.	3	
Russian Federation	CIS .	1980	1.						-	
Sertia and Montenegro	CEE			2001	1:00				4	
Slovakia	CEE	2000	1,01	2002	1,02			4	4	
Slovenia	CEE	1995	1.	2001	0.09	•			2	
Sweden	UE15	1995		2007	1,00				4	
(Jkraine	ÇIS	1996	88.6	3002	0.09			- 2	1 .2	
				and the second second	bove avarage				<u></u>	
Ameria	¢/8	3000	1,62	2002	0.09	-	66	2	1	
Belatus	CIS	1994	0.96	2002	0.08		¥.		- 1	
Kazəkhatan	CIS	2000	0.99	2002	0.08			2	3	
and the second se					niow average		al			
Autorbauan	CI6	1958	1,02	2002	0.08	44	66		3	
Bulgaria	CEE	1995	0,97	2001	0.08	•			3	
Switzenand	europe	1995	0.99	2001	1.00			*		
Kyigyzetlen	CIS.	1985	0.96	2002	0.06			1	1 1	
	24			Countries In	n regraaalam		14 63			
Telikiatan	CIS	1968	0,94	2002	0.95			10	1 1	
Turkey	CEE	1994	0.06	2002.00	0.03	•>			2	
			Countries w	ith insuficient	stata bi surrer	sarize the area	-			
Boshia and Herzegovina	CEE	U.			10	A	12		1	
7 urkmenister	CIS		-		1	1				
Uzbewaten	CIS .		1.		Q					



EDUCATION

"States Parties shall take in all fields, in particular in the political, social, economic and isubural fields, all appropriate measures, including legislation, to ensure the full drivelopowert and advancement of leonan, for the purpose of guaranteeing them the exercise and enjoyment of human rights and fundamental hierdoms on a basis of equality with men." Convention on the Elemination of AB Forms of Discrimination against Women. Article 3: 1979

"We are convinced that ... women's empowerment and their full participation on the basis of equality in all spheres of society, including participation in the decision-making process and access to power, are fundamental for the achievement of equality, development and peace" Beijing Platform for Action, Paragraph 13, 1995.

		0							
Country	Region	1100	Dete	Tear.	Dela	Progress or regression	Progress or regression related to world context	Current Situation	Current elturiton mialed to work context
				Countries in	i program 1				
Attenia	CEE	1995	1.29	2000/2001	1.7			4	4
Austria	LE15	1995	1,00	2000/2001	1.2		•	4	4
Belgium	UE15	1995	1.03	2000/2001	1,2			4	4
Cyprive	UE25	1990	1,52	2000/2001	-13			4	
Czech Republic	CEE	1995	0.02	2001/2002	1,5	33	39	4	4.
Germany	UE15	1966	0.85	2001/2002	1.0	305	100	+	4
Denmark	UE15	1990	1,22	2000/2001	1.4			4	4
Span	UE15	1998	5,17	2001/2002	1.2	•		4	4.
Estonia	CEE	1995	9,17	2000/2001	1.6			4	4
Finiand .	UE15	1955	9,10	200003001	-12	•	· · · ·		
France	UE15	1998	1,27	2006/2001	1.2			4	4
United Kingdom	UE15	1950	1,10	2000/2001	1.2			4	A.
Georgia	C16	1995	3,47	2001/2008	1.0			4	4
Greece	UE15	1008	B.06	2000/2001	3.5		10	4	4
Croate	CEE	1998	\$.00	2001/2002	1.1			4	4
Hungary	CEE	1996	3.19	2001/2002	1.3			4	4
Ineland .	LE18	1998	1.01	2000/2001	13.1			4	4
taly	UE15	1995	3,97	2000/2001	1.3			4	+
Lituana	CEE	1995	1.52	2000/2001	1.5			4	4
Luxembourg	UE15	1996	0.53	2000/2001	1.5	3000	3000	4	4
Letvie	CEE	1995	1.50	2000(2001	1.6			4	4
Moldova	06	1008	1,20	2001/2002	1.3				
Macedonia, FYR.	CEE	1995	9.34	2000/2001	1.3	8.	8	4.	4
Mata	U625	1958	1.09	2000/2001	13				
Notherlands	UE18	1005	0.92	2000/2001	1.1	33	32	4	4.
Norway	europe	1993	1,29	2000/2001	1.5				4
Polané	CEE	1995	1.46	2001/2002	1.4			4	4
Portugal	JUE15	1008	1.32	2000/2001	1.5	•		4	
Romenia	CEE	1995	0.08	2000/2001	1.2	2002	35	4	4
Russian Federation	Cits	1998	1.25	2001/2002	1.3 -			4	
Serbia and Montenegro	CEE	1998	\$.22	2000/2001	1.2			4	4
Sitivakia	CEE	1966	1.02	2001/2002	1.1			4	4
Stovenia	CEE	1995	1.33	2000/2001	1.5			4	4
Swaden	UE15	1995	1.29	2001/2007	1.6			4	4
Ukrame	CIS	1995	1.11	2001/2002	12			-	1
2010-1	0			Countries alt-	OVE EVERAGE		<u> </u>		10 10
Ameria	Icis I	1995-	1.07	2001/2002	1.2		T •. T	4	T K
Betarus	018	1995	1.15	2001/2002	1.4				1 .
Kazakhutun	Citi	1996	1.24	2001/2002	12				
	Tota 1	1.000	3.65	Countries he		-			
	Long 1	1000	1100	the state of the s			1		1
Azerbayan	CIS	1965	1.02	2001/2000	1,0	•		4	4
Butgaria	CRE	1998	1,70	2000/2001	1.4				4
Switzerland	europe	1995	8,64	1998/1999	0.8	3099	10	2/	2
Kyrgyzetan	CIS	1995	9,19	2001/2002	1.1				4
				Countries in	the second s	11.0	100 10		
Tajitistan	C18	1995	0.51	2001/2002	0.0	eecc	- 46	1	1
Turkey	CEE	1995	2,01	2001/2002	0,7	10	10	- 1	1
			Countries w	with insufficient st	lata te suemen	arite the eres			
Bosinia and Herzegovina	CEE	1995	1.00	1	4	14	14		18
Turkmenistan	C16	1995			1				-
Uzbekisten	05	1998	1.12		1	17/	12		-

	WO	MEN'S EMPOWERMEN	Ť.					
political, social, economic a appropriate measures, inclu full development and advan purpose of guaranteeing the of human rights and fundan equality with men". Conven	all fields, in particular in the nd cultural fields, all iding legislation, to ensure the	We are convinced that women's empowerment and their full participation on the basis of equality in all spheres of society, including participation in the decision-making process and access to power, are fundamental for the actievement of equality, development and peace" Belline Distorm for Action. Descents 13, 1995						
		Female legislators, senior officials and managers						
Country	Region	Lenest evaluable data(%)*	Current Situation	Current Situation relate to world context				
_	_	Countries in progress						
Libuaria	CEE	44	4	4				
Moldova	CIS	40	4	4				
2.85.55.87.2	0.000	Countries above average		10				
Austria	UE15	29	3	7				
Beigun	UE15	30	3	3				
Germany	UE15	.34	4	3				
Denmark	UE15	22	it.	2				
Estonia	CEE	37		4				
Finland	UE15	-78	2	1				
France	UE15							
itungary	CEE	35	4	•				
Luxembourg	UE15							
Latvia	CEE	37		1 1				
Netherlands	UEIS	28		2				
Norway	europe	28	2	3				
Poland	CEE	34		3				
Russian Federation	CIS	37		1 1				
Stovakia	CEE	31		1 3				
Sweden	UE15		3	3				
Uktaine	CIS	38 Countries below average	-4	4				
Bulgana	CEE	Countries delow sverage						
Belarija.	CIS							
Switzerland	antobe	28	2	3				
Caech Republic	CEE	20	2	2				
Spein	UE15	31		3				
Unled Kingdom	UE15	31	3	3				
Georgia	CIS	28	2	3				
Croatia	CEE	26	1	2				
iniand	UE15	20		1 1				
Portugal	UE15	29	3	3				
Romania	CEE	31	3	3				
Stovenia	CEE	20	3	3				
2-1104	- (our 3)	Countries in regression		3				
Abania	ÇEE	A MARINA MARINA DA ANA DA		20				
Azerbaijan	CIS							
Cypna	UE25	14		1				
Greece	UE15	26	12	1				
taly	UE15	.21	(1	2				
Macedonia, FYR	CEE	\$ <u>9</u>		2				
Malta	UE25	17		1				
Turkey	CEE	1.		N				
Uzbekistan	CIS							
		insufficient data to summ	arise the area	in the second se				
Armenta	C18.			1 7				
Boania and Herzegovina	CEE							
Kazakhstan	CIS							
Kyrgyzstan	CIS							
Serbia and Montenegro	CEE			+				
Tajikistan	CIS							
Turkimenistan	CIS			16 2 h				



WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT

"States Parties shaft take in all fields, in particular in the political, social, economic and cultural fields, all appropriate measures, including legitilation. Its ensure the hall development and advancement of women, for the purpose of guaranteeing flem the exercise and enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms on a basis of equality with men". Convention on the Elemination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, Article 3, 1979.

"We are convinced that ... women's empowerment and their full participation on the basis of equality in all apheres of society, including participation in the decision-making process and access to power, are fundamental for the achievement of equality, development and peace"

Beijing Platform for Action, Paragraph 13, 1995.

		Female professio	Female professional and technical workers (as % of total)						
Country	Region	Lateral available data(%)*	Current Situation	Current Situation related to world context					
		Countries in progress							
Littuania	CEE	70							
Moklova	CIS	64 (4	10 141					
eas-suit	a de la companya de l	Countries above average		18 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C					
katia .	UE15	48		2 230					
3elgium	UE15	40	2	(3)					
Germany	UE15	49	2	3					
Denmark	UE15	51	2						
Estonia	CEE	66	4	4					
Finland	UE15	2	2	3					
France	UE15		10						
tungary	CEE	63	5	4.1					
usembourg	UE15	-							
atvia .	CEE	66	4	1 A .					
Notherlands	UE15	40	2	1					
Notway	surope	45	2	3					
Poland	CRE	80	3	4					
Russian Federation	CIS	64		4					
Slovakia	CEE	61	2	4					
Sweiden	UE15	50	2	3					
Jkrame	CIS	64		4					
JN and	pula.	Countries below average							
2. An and a	ICEE.	Countries below average		1					
Bulgaria Belarus	CIS			*					
	the second s			-					
Switzerland	europe	46		1					
Czech Republic	CEE	52		3					
Spain	UE15	46		2					
United Kingdom	UE15	44	1	1					
Seorgia	CIS	64	4	140					
Croatia	CEE	.51	2	1					
reland	机能特	57	2	3					
Portugal	UE15		1	3					
Romania	CEE	50	3	1 14					
Skovenia	CEE	*	3	1 1					
	The second se	Countries in regression							
Abania	CEL			1					
Azerbaijan	CIS								
Cyprus	UE25	(46)		31					
Greece	UEtS	40	2	(3)					
taly	UE15	40		2					
Macedonia, FYR	CEE	91	2	. 3					
Malta	UE25	40		2					
Turkey	CEE	31							
Izbekistan	CIS								
CASE OF COMPLEX		eth insufficient data to summi	wise the area						
Armenua	CIS			1					
Bosnia and Herzegovina	CEE								
Cazakhatan	CIS			-					
Cyrgyzstan	CIS			-					
Serbia and Mindenegru	CEE								
Tajikistan	CIS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Turkmenistan	CIS								

WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT

gualanteeing them the exercise and enjoyment of human sights and fundamental freedoms on a basis of equality with men." Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Decrimination against Writeren, Article 3, 1979

"States Parties shall take in all berds, in particular in the potitical, social." "We are convinced that ... women's empowerment and their full participation economic and cutural fields, all appropriate measures, including legislation, to on the basis of equality in all spheres of society, including participation in the decision-making process and access to power, are fundamental for the achievement of equality, development and peace" Beijing Platform for Action, Paragraph 13, 1995.

				Fim	ale professiona	Land technical w	orkers (as % of tot	el)	
Country	Region	Year	Ovie(%)	Water (Data(%)	Programs or regression	Progress or regression retated to world context	Current Situation	Current Situation related to work context
				and the second se	les in progres				
Lithuania	CEE	1005		2001	10	30	39	- 7.	3
Moldova	CiS	1105	040	in and the second					
1000	A lower of	- march	-		s above avera			1	10 10 1
Austria	UE15	1995	1	2001	21	>>>>	3935	3	4
Relgium	UE15	1995	8	2001	19	30		- 7	3
Germany	LIE 15	1995	1 7 2	2001	- 26	1000	3000	2	
Denmark	LE15	1895	10:10	2001	- 45	8999	>>>>		4
Estonia	CEE	1995	10	2001	14	:39	. 352	2.	2
Finland	LE15	1995	16	2001	- 44	8999	2022		
Ftance	UE15	1005	0	2001	26	8000	2000		4
Hungaly:	CEE	1005	- L	2001	- 36	8555	2000	3	4
Luxambourg	LE15	1105	8	2001	29	8399	2000	3	
Latvia	CEE	1005	10	2001	1	0000		t	1
Paethertands	LE15	1995	20	2001	30	307	3000	3	4
Nonway	europe	1995	44	2001	- 42	ee	. 440		4
Poland	CEE	1005		2001	10	30	3993	2	5
Russian Federation	CIS	1095		0010010					
Słovakie.	CEE	1995-	13	. 2001	.10	:39		2:	3
Dwoden:	L€15	1005	33	2001	- 55	1000	2022		
Ukraine	CIS	1095	1	· · · · · · ·	-			-	
	1.6			Countrie	a below avera	ige .			<u> </u>
Bulgaria	CEE	1005	9	2001	19	30	39	1	3
Belarus	CIS	1005	4	2001	26	3393	2022	3	2
Switzerland	europe	1995	7	2001	26	8008	2022	3	4
Czech Republic	CEE	1995	1.1	12.2333m2					
Spain	L/E 15	1995	10	2001	18	33	39	2	2
United Kingdom	LE15	1008	1	2001	30	83333	3333	1	4
Georgie	Cits	1905	1	2001	10	30	>>		1 2
Croate	CEE	1095		2001	16			2	2
Insiand	LE15	1005		2001	10	33	>>	2	1
Portugal	UE15	11/05	10	3001	10	ecce.	84	-1-	1
Romania	CEE	1905	3	2001	20	2003	2000	2	3
Slovenia	CEE	1005		2001	18			1	1 1
Concernent and	1 Contraction of the local division of the l	1999			es in regressio			<u>.</u>	h 50 3
Atlania	ICEE	1905	12	2001	15	39	39	2	1 2
Azerbajan	CIS	1905	5	2001	3	44		1	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
Concernance Manual									-
Cyprue	1/625	1005	6	-					-
Graece	UE15	1005	6	2001		>>	>>		1
italy moth	UE15	1195	10	1001	16	39	38	1	3
Macedonia, FYR	CEE	1195		2001				1	1
Mata	1/E25	1995	12/	2001	5	>>	. 39	1	1
Turkey	CEE	1995	6	2001	.9	66	56	17	1
Uzbeklaitan.	CIS	1095		2001	- 14	305	38		1 1
			ountries wit	71 insuffici	ent data to au	mmarise the ar	OA.		
A/menia	CIS	1005	1.20	-				-	
Bosnia and Hierzogovina	CEE								
Kazakhatan	CiS	1005		- 3001	18	900M	5999	- 1	3
Kyrgyzstatat.	C18	1996	8			0		101	
Serbia and Montenegro	CEE		10.000						1
Tajikustari	Cill	1195							
Turkmeniatari	CIS	1995	4						



WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT

States Parties shall take in all fields, in particular in the political, social, social, social and cultural fields, all appropriate measures, including Registration to on the basis of equality in all spheres of society, including participation in the ensure the full development and advancement of examen. for the purpose of guaranteeing them the exercise and enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms on a basis of equality with men". Convention on the Elementation of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, Article 3, 1979

	Hegion	Women in Parliament									
Country		Year	Dete(%)	Year	Data(%)	Progress or regression	Progress or regression related to world zoofext	Current Situation	Current Situation related to worts context		
			_	Countri	es in progras			_			
Lithuaria	CEE	1097	17,50			1			1		
Moldova	CIS	1957	4.80	2004	12.90	3030	2222	2	2		
C. B. BOLT	former in	i Milar		Countries	a above avers	IOE	· · · · · · ·	53	St. 32		
Austria	UE15	1997	26.80	2004	33.90	3999	5300		4		
Belgium	LUE15	1007	12.00	2004	25.30	5555	3999		4		
Germany	UE15	1997	26.20	2004	12.20	33	30		4		
Denitark	UE15	1997	33.00	2004	38.00	>>	39	4	4		
Estoria	CEE	1997	12,90	2004	16.80	35	30	3	1		
Finland	IUE15	1097	33,50	2004	37.50	>>	39	4.	4		
Fiance	UE15	1997	6.45	2004	12.20	>>	3.9	2	2		
Hungaly	CEE	1297	11.40	2004	9.60	<c.< td=""><td>~</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></c.<>	~	1	1		
Luxembourg	UEIB	1997	20.00	2004	20.00				1		
Latvia	ICEE	1997	9.00	3004	21.00	3000	3332	1	3		
Netherlands	UE15	1007	31,30	2004	36,70	33	33		4		
Nonway	Jeurope	1007	38,40	2004	36.40	0000	ecce		1 1		
Poland	CEE	1007	13.00	COLUMN TWO IS NOT	the second s	3333	3333	1	1		
A PROPERTY OF A				2004	20,20	60					
Russian Federation	CIS	1997	10,20	2004	8,80						
Stovakia	CEE	1007	14,70	2004	19.30	>>	35	2	3		
Sweden	UE15	1997	40,40	2004	45.30	>>	35				
Ukraine.	CIS	1997	3,60	2004	5.30	>>	(35)	1	1. 1.		
	1				a below avera		······				
Bulgaria	CEE	5997	11,30	2004	26,20	3990	3999	1 20	4		
Belarus	CIS		10000	2004	10.30	12.2.4		1	1		
Switzerland	europe	1097	21,00	2004	25.00	39	(39.1	3	4		
Calch Republic	CEE	1997	15,00	2004	17,00	>>	35	2	2		
Spain	UE15	1007	24,00	2004	36.00	4666	5900		4		
United Kingdom	UE15	1997	8.50	2004	17,90	9999	1000	2	1		
Georgie	CIS	1997	.0.00	2004	\$.40	>>	35	1	2		
Croate	CEE	1997	7,00	2004	17,80	9995	>>>>	2	3		
Metericit.	UE15	1997	13,90	2004	13,30	44	6,4	2	1		
Portugal	UE15	1997	13,00	2004	19,10	>>-	361	3	2		
Romania	CEE	1097	7,00	2004	10,70	>>	30		2		
Silveria	CEE	1997	7.80	2004	12.20	29	391	2	2		
10002	2000-1	- aslat	- 3104	Country	as in regressio	1		100	AN 25		
Albania	CEE	1997	12,10	2004	5.70	0000	0000	- ±1	1 1		
Azerbaijan.	CIS	1997.	12,00	2004	10.50		66	11			
	UE25	1997	5.40	3004	10.70	20	22	1	1 2		
Cyprue Graece	UE15	1097	8.00	3004	14.00	1000	3999	2			
		1987			and the second se	>>	39		2		
Haty	UE15 CEE	and the second second	11,10	2004	11,50	the summary of the summary of		- 2 -	2		
Macedonia, FriR		1297	1,30	2004	18.30	000	3333	2.	2		
Maita	UE25	1987	5,80	2004	8.20	39	(30)	1	2		
Turkey	CEE	1997	2,40	3004	4,40	- 25	39		1		
Uzbekiptan -	CIS	1997		2004	7.20	20	35		1		
		C		h insufficu	and the second state of th	mmarise the ar	0.8		197		
Amenia.	CIS	1997	8.30	3004	4.80	0		1.	12 30		
Bosnia and Herzegovina	CEE			2004	16.70			É	3		
Kazakhatan	CIS	1997	13,40								
Kyrgyzstan	0.9	1007	1.40	3004	10.00	1000	3909	1	1		
Serbia and Montenegro	CEE	0.0100	0.012	2004	7,90		2217	+			
the second s	C15	1997.	7.65	COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.		2000	2000		1		
Tajkistan	CIS	1000	2,60	2004	12,70	2000	0000	2	2		

GENDER RANKING

This Renking combines the three dimensions Social Welch has selected to monitor gender equity. For each dimension presented (Education, Economic Perfoquation and)
Empowerment) is assigned one of the four calegores relating to present situation. The position of countries in the ranking was determined by calculating the unweighted
average of their values in all three dimensions before being rategorized according to present situation.
2.2.11 Yes N 7.2.2.2 1.2.2 Yes 2.2.1 Yes Yes N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N

Country	Region	Round	Educ	ation	Economia /	enticipation	Empowermant	
			Area position (corrent effuntion)	Area sverage (corrent situation)	Ares position (current situation)	Area average (convert situation)	Ares positico stument situaticoj	Area overage toorrest situation)
Finland	UE16	11		(4.00)		(4.00)	- +	(3.00)
Latvia	CEE	11	4.	(0.50)	4	04.003	1	(2.00)
Lithuariis	CEE	- 11	4	(3.50)	-	+4.001		(3.53)
Norway	Europe	11	4	(4.00)	4	(3.50)	3	13.001
Sweden	UE15	11	4	24.00)	4	94.002	3 3	(3.26)
Denmark	UEIS	10	4	(4.00)	4	(3.50)		12.752
Estonia	CEE	10	4.	(3.50)	4	43.501		13.251
France	LIE15	10	- 42	(4.00)	1	(3.00)		(3.00)
Moldows	CIS	10	4	(3.60)		0.60		(3.33)
Potand	CEE	10	4	(4.00)	3	13.001		13-001
Russian Federation	CIS	10	4	(4.00)	3	(3.00)	1 1 1	100.63
Slovakia	CEE	10.		(4.00)		(3.50)	1	(2.78)
Ukrane	CIS	10	4	(3.50)	3	13.001		13-301
United Kingdom	UERE	10	4	(4.00)		(3.60)	1	(2.20)
Austria	UE16		4	(4.00)		11.501		13.001
Detarius	CIE	3	3	(3.25)	-	(3.9/)	- 2	12.001
Belgium	UE15		4.	(4.00)	1	(2.00)	1	(2.70)
Bulgaria	CEE	1	1.1	(2.30)		14.003	1	(2.80)
Cosch Republic	CEE		4	(4.00)		(2.50)	1	12.001
Germany	UEIS		- 4	(4.00)		12.008	T	13.252
Hungary	CEE		4	(3.50)	3	(3.00)	12	12.751
Fortugal	LIE15		4	(4.00)	5	(2.50)	2	(2.2%)
Siovenia	CEE		4	(3.50)		(3.00)		12.801
Croatia	CEE		4	(1.50)	2	12.00		02.005
Georgie	CIS		4	(4.00)	- 2	2.000	1	(2.60)
tistand	LIE 10			(4.00)		12.005	1	12.001
Kazakhutan	CIS	8	1	(1:00)	3	13.001	1	(2-00)
Luawrbourg	UE 15	1	4	(4.00)	1	11.00ii		13.001
Notherstands	UE16		-	(3.33)	2	12.001	1	12.70
Romania	CEE			(3.50)	1	12.501	1	12,251
Attaria	CEE	7	4.	(1.50)		(1.00)		P1.5Q1.
Armenia	CIB	1	3	(3.25)	1	13.001	1	(1.00)
Сургия	UE25	7	4	(4.00)	1	(1.50)	1	(1.00)
Greece	UE15	7	4	14.001		0.061	T	(1.25)
tiniy	LIETS	7	4	(4.00)		11.001		(1.50)
Macedonia, FYR	CEE	7		(1.33)	X	12.001	1	(1.50)
Spain	UE15	7	4	(1.50)	Î	(1.00)	1	(2.57)
Settoniarid	Europe	7	2	(2.33)	2	(2.50)		(2.25)
Tajikistav	CIS	7	Ť	(1.75)		(3.50)		0.00
Uzbekizian	C18	7	2	(3.00)		13.001		(100)
Azerbaijan	CIS	4	2	(2.39)	2	12.50		1.801
and the second	CIS		1				1	and the second second
Kyrgyestan Matta				(2.50)		12.50%		1100
	CEE	4	4	(4.00)		(1.00)		11.005
Turbity	10aa	Country	s with insufficien	(1.33)	states the same			
Big the and big many man	CEE	Colamina	The second se	a mana ito suntin	The second		-	
Bosma and Herzegovina			-					
Serbia and Montonegro	CEE			(4.00)		13.000		13.801
Turkmentalan	CIR				3 - 3 - 3	-	1	



States Parlos stat eros ingnancy, confinement an ax well as adequate nutrito Elimination of All Forms of I	n during pregnancy and	granting free serve lactation," Conver	ces where recentary don on the	Provide more acc services of high q which includes fan particular attention Conference on We 1995	uality, including s why planning info to maternal and	exual and reprodu rmation and servic l emergency obste	es, and giving tric care*				
		Round									
Country	Region	Year	Data	Year :	Data	Current Situation	Current situation related to world contes				
			Countries in p	COOPIERS							
Natia	UEIS	1995	1 11	2000	. 4	1 4	4				
Sekarus .	CIS.	1005	33	2000	35.	2	4				
Telgium.	UE15	1995		2000	10	- A	4				
Boarna ant Herzegovina	CEE.	1995	15	2000	31	3	4				
Troatia	CIII	1005	18	2000							
Czech Republic	CEE	1995	14	2000			4				
Denmark Visland	UE15	1995	15	2000	<u>+ +</u>		1				
Table	UE15	1995	20	2000	17	4	4				
Jermany .	UE15	1995		2000		1 1					
mani	UE15	1005	0	2000							
uxembourg	UE15	1995	0:	3000	28	2					
lohwity	Europe	1995		2000	18.	2	- 4				
'oland	CEE	1005	12	2000		-					
inguite in the second second	VE15	1995	11	2000	1	43	4				
iertus and Monenegro	CEE.			2000		- C	- A				
Roversa	CEE	1995	97	2000	17.	3	7.4				
Rearing	CIS	1995	45.	2005	36	2	4				
2thekisteri	CB .	1995	Comm 60-10110	2000	- 24	3					
() market	1311 (7		Countries above	average		1 <u> </u>	<u></u>				
(Earla	CER	1995	31	2000	55	1					
ypsa	0625	1995	1	2000	47	2.	4				
Jaorgia Aacedonia, FYII	CIS CIE	1995	22	2000	23	3	4				
lata	UE25	1005	0	2000	21	1	-				
Aoldova	08	1995	85	3000		2					
urkmenistan	CIS	1995	65	2000		1					
mited Kingdom	UE15	1005	10	2000	13	1	1 1				
	1.5.15		Countries below			4 11					
Vmenia.	CIS	1995	29	2000	85	20	4				
Mparie	CEE	1995	23	2000	32	3	C.4.				
labarit Mallari	CIS	1995	80	2000	210	. t	140				
yigyestan.	¢6	1995	80	3000	110	1					
tomania	CEE	1995	60	2000	45	2	- 7 4 -				
lussan Federation	05	1996	75	2000	67	2	4				
weden	UE15	1006		2000	- 2	42	4				
	1202 0		Countries in reg	a charter has been as a second s			() · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
zerbajan	CIS	1095	27	2000	94	1	1.0				
ajilistan	CIB.	1996	120	2000	100	1	-				
unay	IODE .	1005	55.	to summariae the	70	2	4				
diam.	long 1	and the second se		and the second se		1 1	1				
storaa Reece	CEE VE15	1995	00	2000	03		4				
ungary	CEE	1995	23	2000	-10	2	4				
wy .	UE15	1995	11	2000	9	1 1	1 4				
atvia	CEE	1995	75	2000	-42	1					
illuima	CEE	7995	27	2000	12	1 1	1 1				
Wherlands	UEIS	1000	10	2000	10	2	4				
Soyahia	CEI	1995	14	3000	3	47	540				
ipain	UE15	1995	8	2000	4	+ :-					
wetzerland.	Europie	1005	1	2000	7						

States Parties shall anso oregrouncy, continement an increasary, as well as advo the Elimination of All Forms	if the posi-value (uate nutrition due	veried; granting 5 ing pregnancy an	we services a solation: "	interși Conventicar an	services of h includes fam attention to r	igh quality, inclui ity planning inform maternal and error	slable and afforda ling sexual and re- nation and service regency obidetric of Action. Paragraph	productive he is, and giving are* Conf	naith care, which porticular			
		Percent of	Percent of women aged 15-49 attended at least once during pregnancy by skilled health personne									
Country	Region	Year	Data	Year	Data	Progress or regression	Progress or regression related to world context	Gurrent Situation	Current situation related to world contai			
	17	-		Countries i	n progress			-1	_			
Austria	UE35	1980	100	THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.	10000	1	1	1				
Belarun	CIG			1000	- 09.5			+	140			
Belgium	UE15											
Boonia and Herzegovina	CEE			2000	101				- 4			
Groetia	CEE	1022										
Czech Republic	CEE UE15	1993	99									
Denmaik Finland	UE15	1501/1903	100									
Fland	UE15	1991/1993	100		-							
Gernwiy	UE15											
istand.	UE15											
Lutertbourg	UE15											
Norway	Europe											
Poland	CEE											
Portugat	UE15											
Serbia and Montenegro	CEE							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Glovenus	CEE	1992	. 94					1				
UNraiene	CG											
Uzbekislari	205	1066	- 96	2000	197,2	20		4	2.47			
2011/10.00	Sec. 1	<u> </u>			ove average							
Albania	CER			2000	95.2			1	- 44			
Cyprus	UE25	1000										
Georgia Macedonia, FVH	CIS	1997	74	19082	36,3	2558	8229	2	4			
Matta	UE25			1997	100.0							
Moldove	0.5			1947					4			
Turkmenistan	Cis											
United Kingdom	UE15							10 10				
un constanti	Second States		- 7	ountries the	low average			-				
Arcienca	05	1997	87	2000	87.5	30	200%	1.1				
Bulgaria	CEE	Contraction of the	122	1.11	1-2825-	100 M	2.210		- 00-			
Kazakhelan	CIS	1995	- 10	1900	81,0	44		2	2			
Kyngyastan	CIS			1097	. 97.3	1.00		1				
Romania	CEE							1	11110			
Russian Federation	06		-					1				
Swoden	UE15	0					1	7				
				Countries in								
Azerbaijan .	CIS	1907	78	2000	66.7	0000	4444		1.			
Tajiliutan	CIS .			2000	71.3			1	1			
Tulley	CEL	1993	10	1908	67.5	8.9			1			
	neeve -	Cou	ntries with	neutocient	Sata to summ	untine the area						
Estoria	CEE				-		13					
Sneece	CE15							_				
-tungary	CEE											
taly	UE15					-						
Jativia	CEE											
JPuirra	CEE											
lativariansis	UEth											
Siovakua	CEE UE15											
Spein												



REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

¹ States Parties shall ensure to women appropriate services in connection with pregnancy, confinement and the post-natal period, granting free services where lecessary, as well as adequate subtoon during pregnancy and location.¹ Convention on the Elementors of All Forms of Discommission against Warsen. Article 12, 1979.

"Provide more accessible, available and affordable primary health-care services of high quality, including sexual and reproductive health care, which includes family planning information and services, and giving particular attention to maternal and emergency obsistinc care..." Conference on Women - Beijing Platform for Action, Paragraph 106, 1965.

				Percent of i	Birthe Atter	ided by Skilled	Health Person	nel	
Country	Region	Year	Oata	Year	Data	Progress or regression	Progress or regression related to world context	Current Situation	Current situation related to world contes
		_	_	Countries in	0/00/988	_	_		
Aata	LETS			1966/2002	100	1 11		. 4	4
Belatus	CS			1999	100			1.14	A
Balgium	UE15			1990/2002	100				4
Bosnia and Henzegovina	CEE	1001	87.4	2000	100	30	194	4	4
Croate	CEE			1966,2002	100			4	4
Czech Republic	CEE			1995/2002	00	-		1	4
Dominant:	UE15			1955(2002	100			- 4	4
Finland	UEth			2002	100				1
France	UE15			1999/2002	100			1	1
Germany	UE15		-	1995/2002	100	-			4
Velacid	UE15			2002	100	-		_	
Lovenbourg	UE15			2002	100				
Norway	Europe			1995/2003	100				1
Poland	CEE			1895/2002	00			1	1
Portoge	UE15			2000	160				1
Serbia and Montenegro	CEE			1965/2002	20				1
Sovena	CEE			1995/2002	100			4	
Ukraine	Ci8	1906	10.0	1090	29	10	. 44		
and the second se	CIS	1900	37.5	2000		44	- 44		
Uzbekistari	108	1966		Countries abo	00	- 46		1	
	Loss I			and the state of the	Charles of the second second	1			1
Abatta	CER. UE25			2000	203				1
Gypna	CIS		<u> </u>	1965/2002	900			4	
Deorgia	and the second se			a second s	the second second				
Macedonia, FYR Mata	CEE			1995/2052	97				- 1-
	UE25			1965/20/2	- 14				4
Mildovia	CIB			1007	99			1	4
Turkmenistan	CIS	1996	95.8	2000	97	- 19		- 3	
United Kingdom	UE15			1098		11		1	
	1			Countries belo					
Ameria	CHS	1997	- 96,4	2000		34	- 16	1	4
Bulgeria	CEE	11.00		-		-			
Kazikhelan	CIE	1995	89.6	1000	- 99	100			4
Kyrgyzelan	CIS	lines		1997				- 1	
Romania	CEE	1996	98	. 1399		- 10	- 64	1	
Russian Federation	CIE	1900	39.1	1890	00.1			1	.4
Sweden	UE15			1990/2002	100			14	. 4
				Goundrine in r					
Azerbaije:	C1S	1966		2000	58	COLL			3
Tapikistan.	CIS	1900		2000	37	144.0	-44	1.11	3
Turkey	CEE	1903	75.8	1000	and all such		1.880	사 (세)	3
52 M	3分U	Ca	utilities with	Insufficient sta	to summ	arise the area)	(i)
Fatma	CEE	1.000	piller and the			1.		10	
Graece	UE15								
Hungary	CEE								
Rafy	UE15					-			
Lutvie	CEE	1965	100					- (
Littuarvia	CEE	1999	100						
Netherlanda	UE15	1968	100						
the life of the li		1990	100						-
Diovatia	CEE			-					
5pain.	UE15							-	
Switzerland	Europe					1		-	-

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

 States Parties shall ensure to women appropriate services in connection with programs; configurant and the post-initial period, greating free services whole necessary, as well as admisuble notifion starting pregnancy and lactation." Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, Action 12, 1979.

"Provide more accessible, available and affordable primary health-care services of high quality, including sexual and reproductive health care, which includes family planning information and services, and giving particular attention to maternal and emergency obstetric care..." Conference on Women - Seging Platform for Action. Paragraph 100, 1995.

	Region	Contraceptive use among currently married women agen 15-45, any method, per cent									
Country		Year	Data (%)	Year	Data (%)	Progress or regression	Progress or regression related to world context	Current Situation	Current situation related to world contex		
the second s	4173.040 P			Countries	in progress			1			
Austria	UE15	1996	.50.A1	11	president and	0		17	1		
Belanus	CIS	1005	50.4								
Belgsum	LE15	:1980	78.4								
Boenia and Harzegovina	CEE			2000	87.5			12	3		
Croata	CEE			1	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	-					
Catech Republic	CEE			1047	72.0			4			
Denmark	UE15										
Finland	UE15	1080	17.4	-							
France	UE15	1004	74.8								
Germany	LIE15	1992	74.7								
Instand	L/E15										
Luxenbourg	UE16										
Nonway	Europe	1095	73.5								
Poland	CEE	1001	43.4								
Pertugal	UE15										
Sarbia and Montenegro	CEE			3900	36.5			3	5		
Dovenia.	CEE	1004	72.8						- A.		
Ukraine	Cis	10004	10.0	1999	67.8				4		
Urbelinari	CIS	1996	85.6	2000	17.2	3000	39492		1		
unseemen	Lia I	1000			and the second se		3999				
11 m m	ICEE				bove average			<u> </u>			
Abatia	UE25			2000	57.5				- 5		
Cyprus	the second s								-		
Georgia	C18			2000	40.1				3		
Macedonia, FYR	CEE										
Mata	UE25				-				22		
Moldova	CIS			2000	12.4			13			
Turkmenistari	CIS		1000	2000	81.8						
United Kingdom	UE15	1993	82.0		1	1			-		
	100000		. 0	the second s	elow average			1			
Artenia	C/S		1	2000	60.5				· •		
Bulgaria	CEE.			1097	.4116			1	1		
Kazathetan	CIS	1998	316.1	1990	.08,1	10000	3368	4	4		
Kyrgyzatari	CIS			1097	8,5			- 13	4		
Romania	CEE	1003									
Russian Federation:	CIS										
Sweden	L/E15					1			1		
			11	Clisintities is	n regression						
Aperturjen	CIS	2000	98.7	2001		39		1.12	1 1		
Tajiliutan	C18			2000	33.8			1	1		
Turkey	CEE			1004	63,8			-4	4		
and the second s	Sec. 10	C	untries with is	Mild Statistic	data to summe	arise the area		0	0		
Estonia	CEE	1004	70.3		Constanting of	-			10		
Gheot	UE15		100		-						
Hungary	CEE	1983	77.4		-						
	UE15	1985	8021		+				-		
Ruly								_			
Latvia	CEE	1995	40.0		+						
Liftuaria	CEE	1995	40.8		-				-		
Netherlands.	UE15	1903	76.5		-						
Sovatia	CEE	1991	94.0								
Spain	UE15	1995	4.08		8 P			1	1		
Switzerland	Europe	1995	82.0								



DAC List of Aid Recipients - As at 1 January 2003

	Part I: Developing Countries and Territories (Official Development Assistance)							
Least Developed Constrins (LDCs)	Other Lam- Income Countries (Other LICs) (per capita GNI < \$745 in 2001)	Lower Middle-In (LMP (per capita GNI \$7-	Co)	Upper Middle- Incent Countries (UMICs) (per capita GNI 52976- 59205 in 2001)	High-Income Countries (HDCs) (per capita GM > 59206 in 2001)	Constrait and Eastern European Countries and New Independent States of the former Soviet Union (CEECs/NDS)	More Advanced Developing Countries and Territories	
Afghaesistan Angola Kanghaesh Bonan Bonan Bonan Borkian Fase Barkian Fase Barkian Fase Barkian Fase Barkian Fase Barkian Fase Barkian Fase Barkian Cape Vevle Central African Republic Compo, Dem Rep. Djibout Equatoria Guinean Hari Compo, Dem Rep. Djibout Equatoria Guinean Hari Gatobia Guinosa Sanoa Sao Toma and Principe Solanto Solan	*Armenia *Armenia Carmenosh Carmenosh Congo, Rep. Con eff.Voira *Georgia Ghana Indonenia Kenya K	*Alhania Alperia Belize Belize Benze Benze Cokumina Cokumina Cokumina Cokumina Cokumina Cokumina Cokumina Cokumina Ecosolor Macodonia Moroccoo Nienibis Niear	Patentinina Administered Arman Paragany Pers Philippinen Sorth Africa Sei Lanka Si Vincent A Generaliser Sorta Si Lanka Si Vincent A Generaliser Sorta Sorta Thaliand Sorta Thaliand - Tekelan Torpji Tarkey "Tarkey "Tarkey "Tarkey "Tarkey "Tarkey	Botzwana Brazil Chile Cook Islands Cook Islands Cook Islands Cook Sica Croatia Dominica Gabon Gronalia Latanio Malaysia Mastriliae • Mayrate Nauro Materiae • Mayrate Nauro Panaros • Si Helena Si Lacia Venzinela Si Lacia Venzinela Venzinela Nauro Panaros • Si Helena Si Lacia Venzinela Venzinela Metico • Montaerna Ortan Barbado Angentia Barbado Angentia Barbado Nexis Si Nexis Si Lissand Nexis Si Nexis Si Lissand Nexis Si Nexis Si Nexis	Ihabrain	*Befarus *Rogaris *Conth Republic *Estonis *Estonis *Laruts *Romania *Romania *Stengk Republic *Stengk	 Acaba Bahama Bernnalia Branni Corman Isianda Chinesa Taspei Corna French French Folynesia Obrattar Hoog Kong, Chine Brand Korwa Kurwait Libre New Caledonia Ostropia New Caledonia Stropsia Libro New Caledonia Stropsia Libro Vingapore Shropsia Libro Vingin Librod Arab New Shropsia Librod Arab Vingin Librod Vingin Librod 	

* Central and Eastern European countries and New Independent States of the former Soviet Union (CEECa/NIS): * Territory.

Notes

